

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_118888
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of bacteremia management practices in a referral service in Dakar, Senegal, (2018 – 2022)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR) is a threat of public concern, this study aimed at evaluating bacteremia management practices. The findings emphasise on appropriate therapeutic practice in management of bacteremia that help to limit the increase of AMR.</p> <p>Yes, the title of the article is suitable</p> <p>Partial, the author should draw a conclusion referring the findings of his/her study (The conclusion is too general)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>3.3 the author has portrayed that, In 61% of cases, the therapeutic protocol was deemed unsuitable, in line with the recommendations of Senegal's national antibiotic guide and international recommendations. Comments, I recommend the Senegal's national antibiotic guideline to be among the list of references</p> <p>4. Discussion</p> <p>'In recent years, the bacterial ecology involved in bacteremia has changed, and we are witnessing a decrease in the isolation of Gram-negative bacteria in favor of Gram-positive bacteria [6,17]. This is just a verbatim, the author should refer to the findings of his/her study as to what extent this is witnessed</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benedicto Shimula Nyalika
Department, University & Country	Njombe Regional Referral Hospital, Tanzania