

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>                                 |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRB_118829  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Proximate analysis, extraction, and characterization of oil from Terminalia catappa fruit |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>The manuscript has limited relevance for the area where it was produced, and the findings are not adequate for a scientific paper</p> <p>Include the cultivation area or the location where the sample was taken in the title</p> <p>There should be one main goal instead of two specific ones. Also, any parts that are not relevant to the work should be removed, especially since the experiments did not test the possible applications. Also, the results should be shown in the same order as the objectives and methodology are described</p> <p>The subsections of the manuscript are right, but the content and lengths of each are wrong and unbalanced.</p> <p>The manuscript does not follow the usual style of scientific writing and instead, it looks like a laboratory report</p> <p>References to common knowledge are irrelevant to the research topic and should be left out. Instead, references that are directly connected to the topic should be used</p> |  |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>   | <p>the article appears to satisfy the linguistic and English quality criteria for academic publications</p>   |  |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>The manuscript has some value, but it needs to be more like an academic paper instead of a lab report. The introduction should be shorter, focusing on the topic of the study rather than adding extra information. The objective should be clear, and the methodology used is not very original, so a long explanation may not be needed. The results and discussion section are only about 10% of the content, which is very short. This trial could be a short research article with, major changes.</p>  |  |

**PART 2:**

|  | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>   | <b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |  |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Luis Antonio Chel Guerrero              |
| Department, University & Country | Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán, México |