

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_118840
Title of the Manuscript:	Nigerian orthodontic patients' satisfaction with duration of treatment, perception and attitude toward accelerated orthodontics in a University Teaching Hospital
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? Title can be modified for vocabulary correction "Satisfaction, Perception, and Attitude of Nigerian Orthodontic Patients Toward Treatment Duration and Accelerated Orthodontics at a University Teaching Hospital"</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? There are grammatical errors in the 1st sentence itself Please suggest to reframe background</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Correct the grammatical errors in this section too</p> <p><u>additional suggestions/comments</u></p> <p>1. <u>References quoted in the manuscript are not in superscript format. Kindly correct the same all throughout the manuscript</u></p> <p>2. <u>Results section is not elaborated, only the tables are mentioned. Kindly ask author to elaborate the results section and describe each table in detail with an introductory segment in results section</u></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language needs to be worked on but otherwise article manuscript is good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lishoy William Rodrigues
Department, University & Country	Sinhgad Dental College and Hospital, India