

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_118495
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the effect of China's direct investment on countries along the Belt and Road based on RMB internationalization
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>The paper examines the impact of "RMB internationalization on China's OFDI along the 'Belt and Road'".</p> <p>The following observations are made:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The paper addresses an important and interesting issue in contemporary economics.</li> <li>2. The abstract clearly states the research question and outcome.</li> <li>3. Title is suitable.</li> <li>4. Structure of the paper is alright.</li> <li>5. Methodology is clearly specified and the results provide significant insights into the issue with interpretation. The theoretical base of the empirical study is also stated.</li> <li>6. Literature survey is adequate and relevant recent references are cited.</li> <li>7. A few words mentioning how this study is extension/modification of previous studies on this issue would further improve the quality of the paper.</li> <li>8. It would be better to mention the full forms of some words before using the abbreviated words first time.</li> </ol> <p>In my opinion, the paper can be accepted for publication with these minor changes.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Smita Nath</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Scottish Church College, India</b>