

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_118777
Title of the Manuscript:	AVIATION SAFETY: HELIDECK SURFACE FRICTION MANAGEMENT AND MAINTENANCE FOR SAFE HELICOPTER OPERATIONS
Type of the Article	Short communication

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of **Jack of Novelty**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>The title can be slightly adjusted to capture the scope of the communication.</p> <p>Abstract is fit for a short communication but requires editing.</p> <p>The communication is scientifically right but requires some consistencies and elaboration of key concepts.</p> <p>Key citation and references are missing the introduction and general document.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The title should include an appropriate word to show that there is analysis, review and recommendation maintaining helideck.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Abstract is fit for a short communication but requires editing with the punctuation and to remove unnecessary upper case letters on words like: Oil rigs, Oil tankers etc.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The communication is scientifically right but requires some consistencies and elaboration of key concepts. In the introduction, Park <i>et al</i>, 2008 should clearly state if the author is referring to slippery surfaces at home or at the helideck.</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The coefficient of friction on helidecks should be adjusted to what?</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Key citation and references are missing the introduction and general document, there is need to include citations, regulations or reference documents to back key statements e.g. Helideck surface enhancement has no reference. Page 8: Innovatively, research .....add reference to this.</li> </ul>	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> All the figures lack sources.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b></p>	<p><b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i></p>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Nassimbwa Florence</p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p>Kyambogo University, Uganda</p>