

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_118592
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Thyroid Profile (TSH, T3 and T4) of HIV Positive Subjects Visiting Central Hospital, Benin City, Edo State
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract is usually kept restricted to 250 words.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Suggestions given under General comments</p> <p>Needs corrections. Citation at no 41 is a list of essential medicines and not the CDC guidelines for HIV testing as cited in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Can be improved.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Brevity is desired in a research publication. Introduction should tell pertinence of study, and gap in knowledge. Literature review is not required. Selection of subjects should have clarity; for details of 'Method' references can be given and details of procedure need not be described. These should allow others to reproduce the results, if they want, Data depicted in Tables need not be repeated in text. No opinion should be given under the Section of Discussion. Conclusion should be supported by the data of the study.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Krishan Gopal
Department, University & Country	TMU, India