

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_119056
Title of the Manuscript:	Spatial Analysis of Crop Diversity in Telangana: Implications for Agricultural Sustainability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It is interesting in the sense of generating values as a spectral signature for certain crops, this signature based on the normalized difference vegetation index during the phenological cycle.</li> <li>For the content of the work, I consider that it is not appropriate, it does not fully describe what he did. I don't have a suggestion for the title.</li> <li>The description of the abstract can be improved.</li> <li>No, I consider the structure of the content poorly used, there are results in the materials and methods section. I suggest carrying out a review to classify which content should go in the materials and methods, and which in results.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>Yes.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	I suggest structuring the content, which content should go in materials and methods, and which in the results and discussion section. On the other hand, there is cartography that seems to have been expanded and lost resolution.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Miguel Armando Lopez Beltran</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Autonomous University of Sinaloa, Mexico</b>