

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_119083
Title of the Manuscript:	Differential Scanning Calorimetry: A Powerful and Versatile Tool for Analyzing Proteins and Peptides
Type of the Article	Review Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The chosen field is important from a scientific point of view. The quality and the scientific soundness is fairly good.</li> <li>2. The title of the article is appropriate.</li> <li>3. The summary needs rewriting. The abstract should briefly contain: The objectives of this study; Study Design; Place and Duration of Study; Methodology; Results and Conclusion.</li> <li>4. Sections and subsections in the text are not numbered correctly.</li> <li>5. From a scientific point of view the manuscript is correct.</li> <li>6. References are sufficient and recent.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	The entire article should be checked for minor grammatical errors, punctuation, etc.;	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The subject is good, i recommend publishing it after reviewing the manuscript. Suggest to the author to report the meaning of the abbreviations. I recommend publishing it after reviewing the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Zagrai Gavrilă</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Cluj-Napoca, Saint Sylvester Secondary School, Romania</b>