

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_118470</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANALYSIS OF THE RECEIPT AND DISTRIBUTION OF ZAKAT, INFAQ, AND SADAQAH FUNDS THROUGH THE NATIONAL ZAKAT AMIL AGENCY IN PONTIANAK IN 2016-2021</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Policy Article</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it provides valuable insights into the management and distribution of zakat, infaq, and sadaqah (ZIS) funds by BAZNAS Pontianak from 2016 to 2021. It highlights the importance of Islamic accountability frameworks, digital transformation, and targeted poverty alleviation strategies, contributing to the optimization of ZIS fund management and socio-economic development.</p> <p>2. Title seems ok, but can be change as 'AN ANALYSIS OF ZAKAT, INFAQ, AND SADAQAH FUND MANAGEMENT BY THE NATIONAL ZAKAT AMIL AGENCY IN PONTIANAK (2016-2021)'</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive, covering the key aspects of zakat fund management, the need for an Islamic accountability framework, and community empowerment.</p> <p>4. Seems OK.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct as it utilizes appropriate qualitative research methods and analytical techniques to examine zakat fund management and accountability.</p> <p>6. The references are generally sufficient and recent but incorporating more recent studies on digital transformation in zakat management and the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on charitable organizations could enhance the manuscript's relevance and depth.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications, but there may be minor grammatical or stylistic improvements that could enhance clarity and readability. But that cannot be reason to reject the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript provides a thorough analysis of zakat fund management by BAZNAS Pontianak, highlighting key areas such as accountability, community empowerment, and the impact of digital transformation. The methodology is sound, and the findings are well-presented, though the abstract could be more concise. The references are mostly sufficient, but including more recent studies would further strengthen the manuscript. Overall, it offers valuable insights for improving zakat fund management and socio-economic development.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Y. Monojit Singha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>The Assam Royal Global University, India</b>