

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEMT_118470</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ANALYSIS OF THE RECEIPT AND DISTRIBUTION OF ZAKAT, INFAQ, AND SADAQAH FUNDS THROUGH THE NATIONAL ZAKAT AMIL AGENCY IN PONTIANAK IN 2016-2021</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Policy Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This manuscript is significant for the scientific community. It provides valuable insights into the management and accountability of Islamic charitable funds, highlighting the role of these funds in community empowerment and socio-economic development. The research also explores the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on fund management and the potential of digital technology in enhancing zakat collection and distribution. This study offers important policy implications and practical recommendations for improving the effectiveness and transparency of zakat institutions.</li> <li>2. ANALYSIS OF THE RECEIPT AND DISTRIBUTION OF ZAKAT, INFAQ, AND SADAQAH FUNDS THROUGH THE NATIONAL ZAKAT AMIL AGENCY IN PONTIANAK (2016-2021)</li> <li>3. Abstract covers the primary aspects of the study, making it quite comprehensive for its purpose. However, it could benefit from a more detailed description of the specific results and implications of the findings to enhance clarity and depth. The detailed content ensures that readers understand the research scope, methods, and conclusions, fulfilling the purpose of providing a snapshot of the entire study.</li> <li>4. This structure ensures a logical flow of information, starting from the introduction and moving through the literature and methodology, and concluding with results and discussions. Each section builds on the previous one, providing a comprehensive analysis of the research topic.</li> <li>5. Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct.</li> <li>6. Based on the document provided, the references seem to be a mix of relevant and recent sources, but some areas might benefit from additional references to ensure comprehensiveness and updated perspectives.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The language and English quality of the article is not fully suitable for scholarly communications. The text contains several grammatical errors, run-on sentences, punctuation issues, and awkward phrasing that need to be corrected. Furthermore, the structure and flow of the article require improvements for better clarity and coherence. Consistency in the use of technical terms and proper referencing according to academic standards are also necessary. Therefore, while the article has a solid foundation, it requires significant editing and proofreading to meet the standards of scholarly communication.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Satyendra Kushwaha
Department, University & Country	Lead College of Management, India