

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_118808</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of organic manures on productivity of greengram-wheat cropping sequence under organic farming</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, they are. First, pulses are an important source of protein and provide a good income for farmers. Secondly, wheat is widely consumed and is one of the major cereals. Research in organic farming is the need of the hour</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but the abstract could be a bit more detailed.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Sufficient</p> <p>1. Completely randomized design can only be used for lab or pot experiments (i.e., under homologous conditions). Please clarify how you used it for a field experiment. 2. If you have adopted a Completely randomized design, then the analysis of data should also be adjusted. 3. Materials and methods were unclear (Treatment details are confusing). Please provide details on the soil properties of the experiment field. 4. Why didn't you add a control plot for the experiment? 5. Rewrite the result for each parameter separately. If the parameters are not significant, include a sentence stating that "the following parameters were found to be not significant" 6. Add supportive evidence for the microbial studies section. 7. Correct spelling mistakes in some places. 8. Rewrite the conclusion and provide a more detailed explanation of the results. 9. Expansion on Y and T (mentioned in the table) should be provided.</p> <p>References: 1. Reddy (2005) should be changed to Reddy <i>et al.</i> 2005 2. Reference for Panse and Sukhatme (1985) is missing. 3. All the references should be in a same format.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This article needs a major revision.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Raguram C</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kerala Agricultural University, India</b>