

**ReviewForm1.7**

JournalName:	<b>JournalofExperimental AgricultureInternational</b>
ManuscriptNumber:	<b>OriginalManuscript_JEAI_117978</b>
Titleof the Manuscript:	<b>Screeningofcowpeagermplasmagainstcowpeaphid(AphisraccivoraKoch)underartificialconditions.</b>
TypeoftheArticle	<b>OriginalResearchArticle</b>

## ReviewForm1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write a few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. In the conditions of global changes, characterized by high temperatures, drought and desertification, the interest of researchers in finding viable solutions to support agriculture and ensure food for the population is increasing. The culture studied in this manuscript presents advantageous characteristics in these conditions and an important biochemical complex for nutrition. Sustaining such crops and finding varieties resistant to pest attacks, exploring the possibilities of combating them by deciphering the genetic characteristics underlying its resistance are a necessity. The theme is of interest for the plant culture areas but also for other areas where this culture can be expanded. The materials and work methods are well described and can be easily repeated.</p> <p>2. The title is consistent with the content of the work.</p> <p>3. The summary is comprehensive and highlights the essential results</p> <p>4. The work is structured in sections and subsections according to the requirements</p> <p>5. I appreciate the amount of work and the statistical method used, the method that lends itself to these agricultural experiments. In addition, the experiments resulted in the finding of moderately resistant genotype to the tested pest.</p> <p>6. The bibliography is sufficient and quite recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Borozan Aurica</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Life Sciences "King Mihai I" from Timisoara, Romania</b>