

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_118989
Title of the Manuscript:	"A Rare Tumorous Presentation of Endobronchial Tuberculosis: Clinical Insights and Case Analysis"
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The captions for the figures are insufficiently descriptive. More detailed explanations of what each figure illustrates and its significance in the case would be beneficial.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Discussion Depth:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The discussion section could be expanded to include a comparison with other cases in the literature, discussing similarities, differences, and broader implications for clinical practice. There is a need for more critical analysis of the diagnostic challenges and potential pitfalls in diagnosing the tumorous variant of EBTB. <p><input type="checkbox"/> References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The references need to be checked for accuracy and consistency. Some references in the text do not appear in the reference list and vice versa. Ensuring all citations are properly formatted according to the journal's guidelines is crucial. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Grammatical Errors</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Incorrect Articles and Prepositions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "the diagnostic methods used and subsequent management strategies." → should be "the diagnostic methods used, and subsequent management strategies." "lesion was causing a cut-off" → should be "lesion caused a cut-off." Subject-Verb Agreement: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Histopathological analysis of the biopsy specimens reveal" → should be "revealed." "Diagnosis primarily rely on fiberoptic bronchoscopy" → should be "Diagnosis primarily relies on fiberoptic bronchoscopy." Misplaced Modifiers: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "Careful examination of clinical radiological and laboratory data was conducted for accurate diagnosis." → should be "A careful examination of clinical, radiological, and laboratory data was conducted for an accurate diagnosis." <p>Inconsistent Tense Usage</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Shifts Between Past and Present Tense: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> "The patient was extensively evaluated including radiological imaging showing signs of right upper lobe collapse" → "The patient was extensively evaluated, including radiological imaging that showed signs of right upper lobe collapse." 	

Review Form 1.7

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ "Histopathological analysis of the biopsy specimens reveal" → "revealed." <p>Punctuation Errors</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Missing Commas and Periods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ "clinical radiological and laboratory data" → should be "clinical, radiological, and laboratory data." ○ "bronchoscopy histopathology and molecular testing" → should be "bronchoscopy, histopathology, and molecular testing." 2. Double Periods: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ "bronchial stenosis.." → should be "bronchial stenosis." 3. Run-on Sentences: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ "Ethical committe approval is not taken as it is a case study which is an observational study." → should be split into two sentences or revised for clarity. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Accept with minor revision</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Sivaraman G</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>JIPMER, India</p>