

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_118768
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Influencing Delays in Non-Traumatic Emergency Abdominal Surgeries: A Prospective Study
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1) The topic of delays in non-traumatic emergency abdominal surgeries is vital for the scientific community as it affects patient outcomes and healthcare efficiency. Identifying delay factors can enhance surgical protocols, resource use, and patient care. This research also aims to reduce healthcare costs and improve emergency surgical services.</p> <p>2) Title "Determinants of Delays in Non-Traumatic Emergency Abdominal Surgeries: A Prospective Analysis"</p> <p>3) Abstract is comprehensive and well-structured</p> <p>4) YES</p> <p>5) The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound</p> <p>6) References are sufficient</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Overall, the language and English quality of your article are suitable for scholarly communications, but there are some areas that could be improved to enhance clarity and academic rigor.</p> <p>1) Ensure that terminology is used consistently throughout the manuscript. For example, abbreviations like "DEL" and "NEL" should be defined clearly upon first use or avoided in favor of descriptive terms where possible.</p> <p>2) Work on ensuring smooth transitions between sections, especially between the introduction and the specific details of your study.</p> <p>3) Ensure that all data tables and figures are clearly labeled, referenced, and discussed in the text. This helps readers understand the findings without confusion.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohit Kumar Singh
Department, University & Country	Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, India