

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119310
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of seed physiological parameters of onion as influenced by different packaging materials and storage conditions
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. Considering the importance of onion in people's nutrition and the importance of its storage, this research is useful.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>No. I suggest that according to the many articles that exist in other similar researches in the literature, the references that belong to the 2000 and before should be removed and replaced with new references. At the same time, the references should be written uniformly and in a similar format at the end of the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>No. It needs to be edited and revised again.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Abbreviations should be mentioned at the end of the abstract. - The results and discussion section needs to be revised and the results explained in a more appropriate way. - In the conclusion section, the overall result of the research should be clearly written. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saeed Moori
Department, University & Country	Alborz Health and Agricultural Research Center, Iran