

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_119208
Title of the Manuscript:	Understanding Menstrual Hygiene Practices and Knowledge Among Adolescent Girls: A Review
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5.</p> <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. If you look at the title, this title is important for the youth community to know, as education for teenagers who read it. 2. The title is not correct, it gives the impression that there are 2 variables, even though you see the contents are not yet structured. 3. Abstract is not complete. The abstract contains the background, the purpose of the writing, research methods, results and discussion, conclusions. so it doesn't describe the content. 4. haven't. for example in the introduction, too little, explains the data, then there are facts. In the research method, there are no sub-sections of the method. examples: place and time, research design, data collection techniques, and so on. 5. Not yet, because in the discussion or in other chapters, there are no names in the references included. For example, according to Creswell (2011), research methods are:... 6. If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The communication material is good enough, so that readers can understand it.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This author must learn more about research methods that are appropriate to the ideas created.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Helvy Yunida
Department, University & Country	State University of Jakarta, Indonesia