

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_118421
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of integrated nutrient management on meadow orcharding of guava for better growth yield and quality.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article needs improvement. No need to include the place where the study was conducted and the experimental design since this is mention in the methodology. Just mention the significance of integrated nutrient management on growth yield and quality of guava under meadow orcharding before the result highlights.</p> <p>4. Needs detailed procedure in methodology. Needs comprehensive discussion on results, and provide substantial literature supports, including conclusion should be stated comprehensively.</p> <p>5. Discussion of results should be intensive. What are the basis of good fruit shape and color? Are there any parameters used to say so?. Further discussion should be included in in the manuscript relation to the results of statistical analysis and its implications.</p> <p>6. Other references are not recent. Cite more recent references (preferably 10 years back). Number the references in the text, not the name of the authors.</p> <p>Other comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In methodology, provide a very detailed procedures on how experiment was done such as application of treatments, other maintenance of trees, basic pests and disease management strategies employed, if soil analysis was done prior-hand; and the data gathered and how it was being gathered. - Include photos of the experimental area showing trees under each treatment. - When using acronym and abbreviations in the text, spell out in the first mention (eg. RDF). 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, english quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications but needs further improvement in the results and discussion.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript needs serious major revision. Though the results is promising, it needs intensive discussion in the results and the methodology needs to be in detail.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamie C. Solpot
Department, University & Country	College of Agriculture, University of Southern Mindanao, Philippines