

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JABB_118387</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Conservation and utilisation prospects of underutilized fruit crops in the Bundelkhand region of India</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>Additional Manuscript Assessment Importance to the Scientific Community The manuscript is important for the scientific community, as it addresses the exploration of underutilized fruits in the Bundelkhand region, India, highlighting their nutritional and adaptive properties in adverse climatic conditions. This research is relevant to food and nutritional security in arid and semi-arid regions, contributing to the development of sustainable and resilient agricultural strategies.</p> <p>Adequacy of the Article Title The title of the article is appropriate to the topic covered, but could be more specific to better reflect the focus of the research. Suggested alternative title: "Exploration of Underutilized Fruits in Bundelkhand, India: Nutritional Potential and Climate Resilience".</p> <p>Scope of the Summary The summary of the article is comprehensive, concisely presenting the objectives, methodology, results and conclusions of the study. It provides a clear and informative overview of the content of the manuscript.</p> <p>Structure and Subdivisions of the Manuscript The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, making the content easier to read and understand. The logical organization of the sections allows the reader to easily follow the development of the study from the introduction to the conclusions.</p> <p>Scientific Correction of the Manuscript The manuscript is scientifically correct, with a robust methodology and a detailed analysis of the results. The conclusions are well founded on the data presented, and the discussion adequately contextualizes the findings within the existing literature.</p> <p>Sufficiency and Currentness of References References are sufficient and recent, covering a wide range of relevant studies on underutilized fruits and food security. The sources cited are high quality and well selected to support the study's claims. There is no immediate need to add additional references, but staying up to date with the latest research in the field is always recommended.</p> <p>Conclusion The manuscript makes a significant contribution to the scientific literature, being relevant to food security in vulnerable regions. Acceptance with small corrections is recommended to improve clarity and methodological detail.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>### Assessment of the Quality of the Language/English of the Article</p> <p>The quality of English in the article is generally suitable for scholarly communications. The text is clear and understandable, making it easy to read and understand the content presented. However, there are some areas where text fluency can be improved. This includes improving the cohesion of some sentences in the introduction and justification. Small grammatical and stylistic corrections could make the text even more polished and professional, ensuring that the message is conveyed</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	effectively and without ambiguity.  ### Suggestion A final review by a native speaker or expert in academic English is recommended to adjust nuances and ensure excellence in academic communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>### Comentários Gerais/Opcionais</p> <p>1. <b>Revisão Linguística:</b> Apesar da qualidade geral do inglês ser adequada, uma revisão final por um nativo ou especialista em inglês acadêmico é recomendada para aprimorar a fluência e corrigir pequenas imperfeições gramaticais.</p> <p>2. <b>Detalhamento Metodológico:</b> Seria benéfico incluir mais detalhes específicos sobre os métodos de coleta de dados e análise para aumentar a replicabilidade do estudo.</p> <p>3. <b>Aspectos Éticos:</b> Incluir uma seção específica sobre os aspectos éticos da pesquisa, como o consentimento informado e a colaboração com as comunidades locais, fortaleceria o estudo.</p> <p>4. <b>Tabelas e Figuras:</b> Certifique-se de que todas as tabelas e figuras estejam bem legendadas e integradas ao texto de maneira a complementar e ilustrar claramente os resultados apresentados.</p> <p>5. <b>Perspectivas Futuras:</b> A inclusão de uma seção mais detalhada sobre as direções para futuras pesquisas pode proporcionar uma visão mais abrangente sobre as possíveis continuidades do estudo.</p> <p>6. <b>Resumo:</b> O resumo já é abrangente, mas garantir que ele sintetize todos os aspectos principais do estudo, incluindo metodologia, resultados e conclusões, pode melhorar ainda mais sua eficácia.</p> <p>7. <b>Revisão da Literatura:</b> Continuar a atualizar e expandir a revisão da literatura com os estudos mais recentes na área pode enriquecer ainda mais a fundamentação teórica do artigo.</p> <p>Agradeço pela oportunidade de revisar este manuscrito e parablenizo os autores pelo trabalho significativo e relevante para o campo de estudo.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Magno De Oliveira</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Federal University of Tocantins Foundation, Brazil</b>