

# Nutritional and quality content of Biscuits Made from Plantain, CharaPona Fish, and Acha Flour Blends

## ABSTRACT

The high cost and demand for wheat flour necessitate exploring alternative ingredients like acha and plantain. Combining these with charapona fish flour could enhance the nutritional value of biscuits while promoting the utilization of underused crops. Plantain (*Musa balbisiana*), charapona (*Labeorohita*) fish flour and acha (*Dititariaexilis*) based biscuits were produced. Plantain and acha flours were substituted in acha flour at 5:5, 10:5, 15:5, 15:10 and 15:15% with 100% wheat, acha and plantain flours respectively as controls. The biscuits were then evaluated for the proximate composition, minerals and vitamins and sensory properties. The result of the study indicates the break strength and thickness increases while diameter, volume, weight and density of the biscuits samples decreased as the level of plantain and fish flour blends increases. The bulk density decreased whereas the foaming capacity, water absorption capacity and oil absorption capacity of the blend flours increased with increasing level of inclusion. The crude protein, fat, ash and crude fibre content increased while moisture and carbohydrate decrease from 77.46-67.76 with increase substitution of plantain and fish flour. The potassium and calcium increased from 208.17-325 mg/100g while magnesium decreased from 34.06 to 33.97 with addition of plantain and fish flour. Vitamin A, vitamins B1, and B2, of the flour blends biscuits increased from 0.61-0.86, 0.43-0.65 and 0.12-0.18 mg/100g respectively with increase in the level of plantain and fish flour. The acha-plantain and charapona fish blends biscuits were more acceptable up to 15:15%, but most of it was preferred at 75:15:10% added plantain, charapona fish and acha flours blends. The plantain and charapona fish flour could be used to enrich the quality of food products.

**Key Words:** Quality, plantain, charapona, acha, biscuits

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Biscuits, a widely consumed and convenient snack, offer varying nutritional values depending on the flour used (Gbenga et al., 2018). In Nigeria, especially among children, biscuits are a staple food item, commonly made from flour, fat, sugar, and water, with optional ingredients to enhance flavor and texture. The selection of ingredients and production methods, such as roll and cut, shape and slice, and scoop and bake, play a crucial role in biscuit quality (Kumar *et al.*, 2021). Acha (*digitariaexilis*), a nutrient-rich cereal from West Africa, is underutilized despite its high protein and amino acid content (Ayo *et al.*, 2018). It is traditionally grown in regions like Central Nigeria and has significant nutritional benefits, including essential minerals like iron, calcium, and phosphorus (Patalano (2019). Acha is versatile, often used in local dishes and could be incorporated into biscuits and other products (Ayo *et al.*, 2018). Plantain (*musabalbisiana*), another underexploited crop, is rich in carbohydrates, fiber, vitamins, and minerals. Widely produced in sub-Saharan Africa (Nafacket *al.*, 2023), plantain flour is a valuable ingredient for biscuits due to its nutritional profile and health benefits, such as lowering cholesterol and reducing disease risks (Gómez *et al.*, 2021). Fish, particularly charapona (*labeorohita*), offers high-quality proteins and omega-3 fatty acids, making it a valuable nutritional component. Despite its benefits, the use of fish in biscuit production is limited by challenges in processing and maintaining quality. The high cost and demand for wheat flour necessitate exploring alternative ingredients like acha and plantain (Ayo and Atondo, 2020, 102-104). Combining these with charapona fish flour could enhance the nutritional value of biscuits while promoting the utilization of underused crops. This study aims to evaluate the quality and acceptability of biscuits made from blends of acha, plantain, and charapona fish flours, potentially offering a nutritious and cost-effective alternative to traditional wheat flour biscuits.

## 2.0 MATERIAL AND METHOD

### 2.1 Materials

The ingredients that were used in this study included Acha grains (*Digitariaexilis*), plantain (*Musa balbisiana*), charapona fish, wheat flour, baking powder (double-acting), salt (palm salt), baking fats, sugar (Dangote), water (portable water) all was purchase in Wukari New Market, Wukari Local Government Taraba State.

#### 2.1.1 Preparation of acha flour

Cream coloured achawas washed with portable water to remove dirt, de-stoned (sedimentation method) and sun dried (3 days). The dried achawas milled into flour (attrition mill) and sieve (0.2mm screen size) to produce acha flour (Tankoet *al.*, 2023)

#### 2.1.2 Preparation of plantain flour

Plantains (*Musa paradisiaca*) was peeled manually (using stainless steel kitchen knives), pulp was sliced (1.5mm thickness), oven dried (50°C), milled (hammer mill) and sieved (0.2mm) to produce plantain flour (Adanseet *al.*, 2021).

#### 2.1.3 Production of fish flour

The charapona fish was washed with potable water, sun dried (3 days), milled (attrition mill) and sieved (0.2mm screen size) to produce fish flour (Sabry 2021).

#### 2.2.4 Production of acha– plantain-charapona fish flour blend biscuits

Biscuits was prepared using a method as described by Jagarlamudi (2022) with some variations in the recipe. The dry ingredients as shown in Table 1., were carefully mixed in a bowl by hand for 3 minutes to produce batter. The batter was rolled and cut using biscuit cutter, arranged on oil greased baking trays, and (baked at 180°C for 25min in the baking oven) to produce flour blend

biscuits. The biscuits were cooled down to room temperature (38°C) and packed without air in a polyethylene material.

**Table 1. Formulation of acha- plantain, acha- charapona fish composite biscuit**

Sample	Acha flour (%)	Plantain flour (%)	Fish flour (%)	Wheat (%)
A	100	-	-	-
B	-	100	-	-
C	90	5	5	-
D	85	10	5	-
E	80	15	5	-
F	75	15	10	-
G	70	15	15	-
H	-	-	-	100

## 2.2 ANALYTICAL METHODS

### 2.2.1 Determination of functional properties of acha– plantain-charapona fish flour blends

#### 2.2.1.1 Determination of Bulk density

A 5 g flour sample was put into 100ml measuring cylinder. The cylinder was tapped continuously until a constant volume was obtained. The bulk density (g/cm<sup>3</sup>) was calculated as weight of flour (g) divided by flour volume (cm<sup>3</sup>) (Donaldbenet *al.*, (2020)

$$\text{Bulk density} = \frac{\text{weight of sample (g)}}{\text{Volume of sample (ml)}} \dots \dots \dots \text{Equation (Equ) (1)}$$

#### 2.2.1.2 Water Absorption Capacities

Oil and water absorption capacity was determined as described by Oyet and Chibor, (2020). One gram of the sample was added to 3ml of water/corn oil in a 15ml conical graduated centrifuge tube. The emulsion was mixed with a vortex mixer for 2 min, incubated at room temperature for 30 min and later centrifuge at 4896 x g for 25 min. After centrifuging, the supernatant was decanted, dried and weighed and calculated as in Equ, 2.

$$\text{Water absorption capacity (g / g)} = \frac{\text{Density of water} \times \text{volume absorbe}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

**2.2.1.3 Determination of Oil Absorption Capacity (OAC)**

Oil absorption capacity of samples was determined as described by Makanjuola, and Adebowale (2020). One (1 g) of sample was mixed with vegetable oil (specific gravity 0.9092) and allowed to stand at room temperature (30±2 °C) for 30 minutes, then centrifuged for 30 minutes at 3000 rpm. Oil absorption was calculated as Equ.3

$$\text{OAC (mL/g)} = \frac{m_2}{m_1} \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

**2.2.1.4 Determination of emulsion capacity Emulsion capacity and stability**

Emulsion capacity and stability was determined as described by Zidani and Boudraa, (2020). Emulsions were formed inside a 600 ml beaker using a continuous stirring apparatus. The sample (0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.00 and 1.25 g) was dissolved in 25 ml of distilled water making 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5% slurries (w/v), respectively. pH adjustment was made to ensure maximum solubilisation of the protein. The mixture was stirred for 30 min in order to disperse the sample. After then, oil was injected from a burette at a rate of 1.00 ml/s until the emulsion collapsed, as seen by a sudden drop in motor current. The volume of oil added up to inversion point was noted and the emulsion capacity expressed as ml oil per g of sample. The emulsion stability was determined by allowing the emulsion prepared to stand in a graduated cylinder and the volume of oil separated at time of 0.00, 0.5, 1, 2, 3 up to 24 h was noted in each case and calculated using Eqs. 4 and 5.

$$EC (\%) = \frac{V_2}{ME} \times 100 \dots\dots\dots Equ. (4)$$

$$ES (\%) = \frac{\text{Height of the emulsified layer}}{\text{Height of the total content}} \times 100 \dots\dots\dots Equ. (5)$$

**2.2.1.5 Foam capacity and foam stability**

The method described by Mshayisa, (2021) was used for the determination of foaming capacity (FC) and foaming stability (FS). Two grams of flour sample was added to 50 ml distilled water at 30 ± 2°C in a 100 ml measuring cylinder. The suspension was mixed using glass rod and was properly shaken to foam and the volume of the foaming capacity after 30 seconds (s) was recorded. The foaming capacity was expressed as the percentage was increased in volume mixed thoroughly and was analysed using the RapidVisco Analyser (RVA) using the manufacturer recommended parameters.

**2.2.1.6 Swelling capacity**

This was determined by the method described by (Heydariat al.,2020) with modification for small samples. One gram of the flour sample was mixed with 10 ml distilled water in a centrifuge tube and heated at 80°C for 30 min. This was continually shaken during the heating period, the suspension was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm, decanted and the paste was weighed. The swelling power was calculated as indicated Equ. 6

Swelling power = weight of the paste/weight of dry flour.

$$\text{Swelling index (ml/ g)} = \frac{\text{water absorbed (ml)}}{\text{Weight of dry sample (g)}} \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

**2.2.2 PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF COMPOSITE BISCUITS**

**2.2.2.1 Spread ratio**

“The spread ratio was calculated as diameter of biscuits divided by height” (Doğan and Meral 2019). “Two rows of five well-formed biscuits were made and the height was measured,

arranged horizontally edge to edge and the sum of the diameters was also measured as well".(Doğan and Meral 2019)

### 2.2.2.2 Break strength

Oyet and Chibor (2020).method was used. Biscuit of known thickness was placed centrally between two parallel metal bars (3 cm apart). Weights were added on the biscuit until the biscuit snapped. The least weight that caused the breaking of the biscuit was regarded as the break strength of the biscuit.

### 2.2.2.3 Thickness and Weight

Thickness of the biscuit sample was determined using a venire calliper, while the weight of the sample was determined with a top loading balance Oyet and Chibor (2020). .

## 2.2.3 DETERMINATION OF CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

### 2.2.3.1 Proximate Composition analysis

Nutrient composition of the food samples was determined in triplicate using the standard procedures of Association of Official Analytical Chemists as follows:

#### 2.2.3.1.1 Determination of Moisture Contents

Determination of Moisture Content Two (2g) of each sample was weighed inside a clean dried crucible (W1) and was dried at 60°C in a hot stimulating oven for 24 hours to a constant weight. It was cooled in desiccators for 30minutes and weighed (W2). The crucible was washed, dried in the oven and the weight was recorded (W0) (Bello *et al.*, 2020).

$$\text{Moisture content (\%)} = \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_1 - W_0} \times \frac{100}{1} \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

Where;

W1 = weight of sample + empty crucible

W0 = weight of empty crucible

W2 = weight of dried sample + empty crucible

### 2.2.3.1.2 Determination of crude protein

**Determination of crude protein** The crude protein content ( $N \times 6.25$ ) was determined as described by D'Hoogheet *al.*, (2023). A quantity (0.5 g) of each sample was added to 10 ml of conc. sulphuric acid and 1g of the catalyst mixture. It was then heated cautiously on digestion rack under fume hood until a greenish clear solution appeared, cooled, and then made up to 50 ml with distilled water. The digested sample was transferred into distillation apparatus and distilled. 10 ml of the distillate was titrated with 0.1M HCL to first pink colour. The nitrogen content was calculated and multiply by the factor to obtain the protein content.

### 2.2.3.1.3 Determination of crude fiber

Two (2g) gram of the sample was defatted with 99% ethanol, boiled under reflux for 30 minutes with 200 ml of a solution containing 1.25 g of  $H_2SO_4$  per 100 ml of solution” (Ganesan, *et al.*, 2023). “And was filtered with Whatmann No 1 filter paper, washed with boiled water until the washing was no longer acid. The residue was transferred to a beaker and boiled for 30 minutes with 200ml of a solution containing 1.25g of carbonate free sodium hydroxide per 100ml. It was filtered and transferred into a crucible. The residue was dried in the oven at 600C in a muffle furnace and the dried weight recorded”. (Ganesan, *et al.*, 2023)

$$\text{Crude fiber (\%)} = \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_0} \times 100 \dots\dots\dots (8)$$

Where,  $W_0$  = weight of sample (g)

$W_1$  = weight of dried sample (g)

$W_2$  = weight of ash sample (g)

### 2.2.3.1.5 Determination of Crude Fat

“Crude fat was determined by exhaustively extracting each sample in petroleum ether in a Soxhlet extractor. The weighed sample (W<sub>0</sub>) was poured into a thimble and covered with a clean white cotton wool. 99% ethanol (200 ml) was poured into a 250 ml extraction flask which was previously dried in the oven at 105°C for 30 minutes and weighed (W<sub>2</sub>). Extraction was done for 5 hours. And was cooled in desiccators and reweighed (W<sub>1</sub>)” (Ganesan, *et al.*, 2023).

$$\text{Crude fat (\%)} = \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_0} \times 100 \dots\dots\dots (9)$$

**2.2.3.1.6 Determination of ash content**

Two (2 g) gram sample was transferred into a previously heated, cooled and weighed crucible (W<sub>0</sub>) and then weighed (w<sub>1</sub>). It was placed into a Gallenkamp muffle furnace (550°C for 3 hours), cooled (in desiccators) and weighed (W<sub>2</sub>) (Ikala, *et al.*, 2020).

$$\% \text{ Ash} = \frac{W_2 - W_0}{W_1 - W_0} \times 100 \dots\dots\dots (10)$$

**2.2.3.1.7 Determination of carbohydrate content**

Carbohydrate content was determined by difference using the method described by (Ikala, *et al.*, 2020). Total carbohydrate content of the samples was calculated (subtracting the sum of percent moisture, crude protein, crude fibre, crude fat, and ash from 100%).

**2.2.4 MICRONUTRIENTS ANALYSIS**

**2.2.4.1 Determination of minerals**

**2.2.4.1.1 Calcium**

Calcium was determined by titrimetric method after precipitation as calcium oxalate as outlined by Stanković *et al.*, (2020). About 5 mL of samples was mixed with 1 mL of ammonium oxalate solution. pH was adjusted to 8 using ammonium hydroxide solution and adjusted again to 5 using dilute acetic acid. The mixtures were allowed to stand for 4 hours, centrifuged and decanted. About 2 mL dilute sulphuric acid was added and heated. Titration was then carried out using

0.02 N potassium permanganate (1 mL = 0.0004g Ca) until colour changes. Calcium was calculated using Equ. 11

$$\text{Calcium} = \frac{A_s \times C_{ss} \times D_f}{A_{ss} \times S_v} \times 100 \dots \text{Equ. (11)}$$

Where  $A_s$  is absorbance of sample,  $C_{ss}$  is concentration of standard solution,  $D_f$  is Dilution factor,  $A_{ss}$  is absorbance of standard solution and  $S_v$  is sample volume.

#### 2.2.4.1.2 Magnesium

Colourimetric method was used to determine magnesium content as described by Stanković *et al.*, (2020). About 1 mL of magnesium buffer and 2.5 mL of eriochrome blue black tea was added to 5 mL of sample. This was allowed to stand for 10 minutes. Absorbance was taken at 520 nm using a colourimeter. Magnesium was calculated using Equ.12.

$$\text{Magnesium} = \frac{A_s \times C_{ss} \times D_f}{A_{ss} \times S_v} \times 100 \dots (12)$$

Where  $A_s$  was absorbance of sample,  $C_{ss}$  was concentration of standard solution,  $D_f$  was dilution factor,  $A_{ss}$  was absorbance of standard solution and  $S_v$  was sample volume.

#### 2.2.4.1.3 Potassium

Potassium stock solution and standard dilute potassium solution was prepared with the method for sodium solution as described by Stanković *et al.*, (2020). A calibration graph was prepared from the reading obtained. About 2 mL of sample was mixed with 2 mL of sodium cobalt nitrate and allowed to stand for 45 minutes. About 2 mL of water was added to the mixture and centrifuged for 15 minutes. The supernatant was obtained and mixed with 2 mL of 99 % ethanol. The mixture was centrifuged for 5 minutes and the supernatant boiled in a water bath for 10 minutes. About 1 mL of 1 % chlorine hydrochloride, 1 mL potassium fericyanide and 2 mL of distilled water was added to the extract. Absorbance was determined at 620 nm using a colorimeter. The sample solution was then read and potassium content was calculated using Equ 13

$$\text{Potassium} = \frac{A_s \times C_{ss} \times D_f}{A_{ss} \times S_v} \times 100 \dots \text{Equ, (13)}$$

Where  $A_s$  = absorbance of sample,  $C_{ss}$  = concentration of standard solution,  $D_f$  = Dilution factor,  $A_{ss}$  = absorbance of standard solution and  $S_v$  = sample volume.

#### 2.2.4.2 DETERMINATION OF VITAMINS

Vitamin A, Thiamine (B1) Riboflavin (B2) was the vitamins analysed for the food formulation by the method described by Choi and Row (2023). This was performed at the optimum separation condition by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) with isocratic binary mobile phase consisting of methanol: water (65:35 v/v) with flow rate of 1ml per minute. The pH was measured using pH meter combined with a glass electrode. A 320R Hettich centrifuge and a digital 10P ultrasonic bath was used. A calibration curve was prepared for each vitamin and the correlation coefficient based on the concentration curve was obtained.

### **2.2.5 SENSORY EVALUATION**

Sensory evaluations of the biscuits was determined using twenty-member panellist consisting of staff and students of the Department of Food Science and Technology, Federal University of Wukari Taraba state. The biscuits samples prepared from each flour blend was presented in coded white microwavable plastic container. The order of presentation of samples to the panellists was randomized. Distilled water was provided to rinse the mouth between evaluations. The panellists was instructed to evaluate the coded samples for taste, aroma, colour, texture, crispiness and overall acceptability. Each sensory attribute was rated on a 9-point Hedonic scale (for taste, aroma and overall acceptability, 1 = disliked extremely, 5 = neither like nor dislike, while 9 = liked extremely. For colour, 1 = extremely white, 5 = neither brown nor white, while 9 = extremely brown. For texture:

### **2.2.6 Statistical Analysis**

All the analyses was carried out in duplicate. Data obtained was subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA); differences between means was evaluated using Turkey's multiple comparison tests with 95% confidence level. The statistical package in Minitab software version

16 was used. Means was separated with Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 95% confidence level ( $p=0.05$ )

### **3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### **3.1 Chemical Composition of acha-plantain-charapona fish blends biscuits**

##### **3.1.1 Proximate composition of acha-plantain-charapona fish blends biscuits**

The proximate composition of acha-plantain and charapona fish blend biscuit is presented in table 2. When plantain flour and charapona fish blends were added to biscuits, their moisture content increased from 3.27 to 3.72%, which was higher than when wheat flour was used. The moisture level was notably,  $p<0.05$ , lower than the 17.01 to 26.23% observed by Akoja and Coker (2018) on wheat flour biscuits including okra powder and Sengevet et al. (2015) on cookies made with sweet potato and mango mesocarp flours, which rose from 7.9 to 10%. The ash content of the enriched biscuits also increased on addition of plantain and fish flours with sample G (70:15:15%) The study agrees with Akoja and Coker (2018) who also observed “an increased ash content on addition of okra flour (0.91 – 4.17%)”. Usman et al. (2015) reported “similar increase in ash content (0.52 – 1.12%) as carrot extract was added at varying degrees to wheat and maize flour composite blends. Fish and plantain are rich sources of minerals in human diet”. Ash content of a food material is a measure of nutritionally essential minerals present in that food material. High ash content in the biscuits signifies higher mineral content.

“The protein content of the enriched biscuit increased on addition of okra pod flour and was consistent with” (Akoja and Coker (2018). “Also carrot vegetable increased the protein content 11.4 to 23.2%) of biscuit” (Usman et al., 2015). “The protein content of the enriched biscuit ranged from 7.31 to 11.90%, the highest at sample G with 15% fish flour. This content was higher than 5.1 to 9.5% on cookies produced from mango mesocarp” (Sengevet *al.*, 2015).

**Table 2. Proximate composition of acha-plantain-charapona fish blend biscuit**

Sample	Moisture%	Crude protein (%)	Crude fat (%)	Crude fibre (%)	ash%	carbohydrate%
A	2.43 <sup>d</sup> ±0.03	9.69 <sup>b</sup> ±0.04	8.55 <sup>c</sup> ±0.16	3.13 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	3.13 <sup>a</sup> ±0.02	73.07 <sup>b</sup> ±0.24
B	3.18 <sup>cd</sup> ±0.01	7.00 <sup>c</sup> ±0.61	9.24 <sup>c</sup> ±0.86	2.1 <sup>b</sup> ±0.07	0.1 <sup>d</sup> ±0.07	78.38 <sup>a</sup> ±1.53
C	3.27 <sup>c</sup> ±0.4	7.31 <sup>c</sup> ±0.0	11.46 <sup>b</sup> ±1.12	0.2 <sup>c</sup> ±0.14	0.3 <sup>c</sup> ±0.14	77.46 <sup>a</sup> ±0.57
D	3.29 <sup>c</sup> ±0.4	7.32 <sup>c</sup> ±0.1	11.81 <sup>b</sup> ±0.24	0.05 <sup>d</sup> ±0	0.06 <sup>c</sup> ±0	77.77 <sup>a</sup> ±0.74
E	3.39 <sup>c</sup> ±0.42	7.39 <sup>c</sup> ±2.03	12.91 <sup>b</sup> ±2.28	0.28 <sup>c</sup> ±0.11	0.27 <sup>c</sup> ±0.11	75.76 <sup>b</sup> ±3.79
F	3.99 <sup>a</sup> ±0.29	10.2 <sup>a</sup> ±0.21	15.79 <sup>a</sup> ±0.76	0.21 <sup>c</sup> ±0.04	0.33 <sup>c</sup> ±0.04	70.48 <sup>b</sup> ±0.23
G	3.72 <sup>b</sup> ±0.21	11.9 <sup>a</sup> ±0.21	16.02 <sup>a</sup> ±1.7	0.18 <sup>c</sup> ±0.11	0.42 <sup>c</sup> ±0.11	67.762 <sup>c</sup> ±1.17
H	2.32 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01	9.11 <sup>b</sup> ±0.12	9.91 <sup>c</sup> ±0.11	1.82 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	1.83 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	75.01 <sup>b</sup> ±0.12

\*Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly (p<0.05) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test.

Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF

AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

The difference in the protein content could be attributed to the high protein content in fish used. Protein is needed in the body for the growth and repair of worn out tissues, forms major enzymes and hormones and boosts the immune system. The fat content of the biscuits increased on addition of fish flour. This shows that fish flour is also high in protein. The result agrees with Akoja and Coker (2018) and Kinn-Kabiari *et al.* (2017) reported “fat content of 17.90 to 20.79% but their results were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher than that obtained from the present study. The difference may be as a result of recipe used. The use of margarine and fat in bakery also predisposes consumers to high intake of fat”. However, Ajibola *et al.* (2015) who worked on “whole wheat biscuits incorporated with moringa flour and cocoa powder reported fat content 13.19 to 15.00% which agreed with that obtained from the present study”. “Fat is required for the metabolism of fat soluble vitamins such as vitamin A, D, E and K and it also forms hormones systems in the body.

The crude fiber content of the enriched biscuit increased plantain and fish flour addition with highest value at sample F (15:10%) plantain and fish flour inclusion. This was consistent with previous studies” (Akoja and Coker 2018; Kinn-Kabiari *et al.*, 2017; Grahet *et al.*, 2014). Fiber increases bulk of food and aids digestion of food. It is very essential component of food and can help stop constipation. Carbohydrate content of the enriched biscuit reduced on addition of and fish flour. The present study was consistent with (Akoja and Coker 2018; Ajibola *et al.*, 2015) but lower than 62.32 – 70.01% reported by Omah and Okafor (2015) on legume based cookies. “This shows that animal sources have lower carbohydrate contents compared to plants”. (Akoja and Coker 2018)

### **3.1.2 Minerals composition of acha-plantain-charapona fish flour blends**

Calcium, magnesium, potassium and content of the enriched biscuit increased on plantain and fish flour substitution and were highest in sample G compared to the control samples (Table 3). This shows that plantain and fish flour blends are good sources of micronutrients. The study was similar with (Usman et al., 2015; Ajoka and Coker, 2018). However, some studies reported lower values on addition of legumes (Ndifeet *al.*, 2014), vegetables (Kinn-Kabariet *al.*, 2017), and nuts (Nzeagu and Onwudiwe, 2016).

**Table 3. Minerals composition of acha-plantain-charapona fish flour blends**

SAMPLE	Potassium (mg/100g)	Magnesium (mg/100g)	Calcium (mg/100g)
A	31.95 <sup>g</sup> ±0.71	29.06 <sup>c</sup> ±0.69	39.55 <sup>f</sup> ±0.01
B	705.63 <sup>a</sup> ±2.52	38.91 <sup>a</sup> ±0.6	101.8 <sup>e</sup> ±1.28
C	208.17 <sup>c</sup> ±1.51	34.06 <sup>b</sup> ±0.54	150.32 <sup>c</sup> ±9.92
D	254.78 <sup>cd</sup> ±0.0	30.83 <sup>c</sup> ±0.81	169.74 <sup>c</sup> ±4.34
E	256.19 <sup>c</sup> ±3.02	29.67 <sup>c</sup> ±0.83	176.07 <sup>c</sup> ±3.87
F	260.83 <sup>c</sup> ±0.51	30.18 <sup>c</sup> ±1.1	223.45 <sup>b</sup> ±4.88
G	325.95 <sup>b</sup> ±1.0	33.97 <sup>b</sup> ±0.11	290.11 <sup>a</sup> ±4.86
H	171.93 <sup>f</sup> ±0.0	31.58 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.01	140.96 <sup>d</sup> ±0.69

\*Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly (p<0.05) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test.

Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF  
 AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

### **3.1.3 Vitamins content of acha-plantain-fish flour blends bread**

The vitamin contents of biscuit samples are presented in Table 4. Vitamin A, vitamin B1 and B2 ranged from 0.31 to 0.86, 0.11 to 0.65 and 0.11 to 0.18 mg/100 g respectively. The maximum level of vitamin A content of biscuit (0.86 mg/100 g) was observed in the 70:15:15% substitution levels of acha-plantain-fish flour blends biscuit and significantly higher than the values obtained from other samples. Lowest value of vitamin A (0.31 mg/100 g) observed in 100% acha biscuit which could be attributed to non-incorporation of plantain and fish flour blends which are rich source of vitamin A (Olakunle and Olalekan, 2020). There are significant differences ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) in vitamin B2 (riboflavin) and B1 (thiamin) contents of the samples. Vitamin B2 and B1 helps to break down proteins, fat and carbohydrates and plays a vital role in maintaining of body's energy supply. This result is in agreement with the work of Olakunle and Olalekan, (2020).that reported 0.157 mg/100 g to 0.477 mg/100 g for ready to eat snacks.

**Table 4. Vitamins content of acha-plantain-fish flour blends**

Sample	Vitamin A (mg/100g)	Vitamin B1 (mg/100g)	Vitamin B2 (mg/100g)
A	0.31 <sup>f</sup> ±0.01	0.11 <sup>d</sup> ±0.14	0.11 <sup>c</sup> ±0.07
B	0.42 <sup>e</sup> ±0.02	0.36 <sup>c</sup> ±0.0	0.12 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01
C	0.61 <sup>c</sup> ±0.0	0.43 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.12 <sup>c</sup> ±0.0
D	0.65 <sup>c</sup> ±0.0	0.41 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.12 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01
E	0.71 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.43 <sup>c</sup> ±0.02	0.13 <sup>c</sup> ±0.0
F	0.79 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.56 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.0	0.16 <sup>b</sup> ±0.0
G	0.86 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.65 <sup>a</sup> ±0.01	0.18 <sup>a</sup> ±0.0
H	0.51 <sup>d</sup> ±0.14	0.47 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.06	0.13 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01

\*Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly (p<0.05) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test.

Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF

AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

### 3.2 Functional properties of acha-plantain-charapona fish flour blends

The functional properties of the flour are shown in Table 5. “A significant variation was observed among the samples where the bulk density ranged from 1.89 – 2.33g/ml. Bulk density is a measure of the heaviness of the flour and it is generally affected by the particle size. The low value of the bulk density observed in this study facilitates easy packaging and transportation, which improves overall cost efficiency of the end bakery product” (Oladipo and Nwokocha, 2011)

“Foam capacity ranged from 3.33–4.88%. Samples differ significantly. Foaming capacity contributes to dough formation and stability of the flour. A high foaming capacity is a **criteria** for good quality product” (Ukpong et al., 2021). “The water and oil absorption capacities of the blends ranged from 2.45 – 3.85% and 1.85 – 3.05%. It increased progressively as the level of the fish flour increased in the flour blend. Sample G had the highest values both for oil and water absorption capacities. Both the water and oil absorption capacities are reported to be influenced

by the nature and behavior of the micro molecules, especially protein and the nature of starch”(Uponget *al.*, 2021).. For the OAC, a significant variation ( $p < 0.05$ ) existed among the blends, while for WAC, no significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) existed among the blends.

**Table 5: Functional properties of acha-plantain-charapona fish flour blends**

Sample	Bulk density (%)	Foaming capacity (%)	Water absorption capacity (%)	Oil absorption capacity (%)
A	1.89 <sup>c</sup> ±0.05	3.33 <sup>c</sup> ±0.00	2.45 <sup>a</sup> ±0.64	1.85 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07
B	1.41 <sup>e</sup> ±0.03	3.36 <sup>c</sup> ±1.03	2.85 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07	2.05 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07
C	2.33 <sup>a</sup> ±0.08	3.36 <sup>c</sup> ±0.04	2.90 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00	1.95 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07
D	1.9 <sup>c</sup> ±0.03	3.46 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02	3.00 <sup>a</sup> ±0.14	1.95 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07
E	1.82 <sup>cd</sup> ±0.05	3.61 <sup>b</sup> ±0.06	3.15 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07	2.05 <sup>a</sup> ±0.21
F	1.42 <sup>b</sup> ±0.12	4.65 <sup>d</sup> ±0.02	3.74 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00	2.95 <sup>a</sup> ±0.21
G	1.39 <sup>e</sup> ±0.05	4.88 <sup>b</sup> ±0.06	3.85 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07	3.05 <sup>a</sup> ±0.21
H	1.7 <sup>d</sup> ±0.04	3.79 <sup>a</sup> ±0.21	2.90 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00	1.9 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00

\*Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test.

Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF

AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

### 3.3 Physical properties of acha-plantain-charapona fish flour blends

The physical properties of the acha-plantain-fish flour blend biscuits is shown in Table 6. There was an increase in break strength and thickness ranging from 277.5 to 2222.5kg and 0.27 to 0.38cm respectively, as a result of the increase in level of plantain and fish flour substitution. The reverse was observed for the volume, diameter, weight and density of the biscuit which ranges from 10.5-8.0cm, 4.00- 3.68cm, 8.48-5.54 g and 8.48 – 0.55g/ml respectively. Sample G had the highest breaking strength and thickness, but had lower values in volume, diameter and density. The effects of adding plantain and fish flour blends are significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) between all the samples. The increased break strength and thickness observed in plantain and fish flour

substituted biscuit samples could be due to the difference in the particle sizes and characteristics of the constituent flours Joel *et al.*, (2014), Olapadeet *et al.*, (2011) reported similar trend in biscuits from wheat and full fat soya and biscuit from acha flour supplemented with cowpea flour.

**Table 6: Physical properties of acha-plantain-charapona fish flour blends**

Sample	Break strength (g)	Diameter (cm)	Thickness (cm)	Volume (cm)	Weight (g)	Density (g/cm)
A	227.5 <sup>c</sup> ±3.54	3.81 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	0.27 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01	10.50 <sup>b</sup> ±0.71	6.86 <sup>d</sup> ±0.00	0.69 <sup>c</sup> ±0.00
B	3020 <sup>a</sup> ±7.07	4.00 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00	0.27 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01	10.00 <sup>b</sup> ±0.00	8.48 <sup>a</sup> ±0.04	0.85 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00
C	1390 <sup>c</sup> ±7.07	3.95 <sup>a</sup> ±0.07	0.28 <sup>cd</sup> ±0.01	12.00 <sup>a</sup> ±0.00	7.82 <sup>b</sup> ±0.03	0.78 <sup>b</sup> ±0.00
D	2225 <sup>b</sup> ±0.00	3.92 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	0.29 <sup>cd</sup> ±0.03	11.00 <sup>ab</sup> ±0.00	7.21 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	0.73 <sup>b</sup> ±0.00
E	850.00 <sup>d</sup> ±7.07	3.86 <sup>b</sup> ±0.03	0.30 <sup>c</sup> ±0.01	10.00 <sup>b</sup> ±0.00	7.04 <sup>c</sup> ±0.08	0.71 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.01
F	930.00 <sup>d</sup> ±7.07	3.81 <sup>b</sup> ±0.04	0.34 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.00	9.50 <sup>bc</sup> ±0.00	6.79 <sup>d</sup> ±0.01	0.68 <sup>c</sup> ±0.00
G	1417.5 <sup>c</sup> ±10.61	3.71 <sup>c</sup> ±0.06	0.36 <sup>b</sup> ±0.01	9.00 <sup>c</sup> ±0.71	6.60 <sup>de</sup> ±0.03	0.62 <sup>d</sup> ±0.00
H	2222.5 <sup>b</sup> ±3.54	3.84 <sup>c</sup> ±0.03	0.38 <sup>a</sup> ±0.03	8.00 <sup>d</sup> ±1.41	5.54 <sup>f</sup> ±0.03	0.55 <sup>e</sup> ±0.00

\*Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly (p<0.05) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test.

Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF

AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

### 3.4 Sensory evaluation of acha-plantain-fish flour blends biscuits

The results of Sensory evaluation of acha-plantain-fish flour blends biscuit are presented in Table 7. The mean scores of aroma ranged from 5.0 of sample, A (100% acha flour) to 6.50 of

sample H. There was no significant difference ( $p>0.05$ ) in samples. The mean scores for texture ranged from 4.65 to 6.75 with sample H (100%) having the highest rating for texture

There was a significant difference in samples. Mean scores of colour and taste ranged from 5.20 to 7.10 and 5.15 to 7.40 respectively. All the samples were accepted however, sample H containing 100% wheat flour was most preferred followed by sample E with 75:15:10% acha-plantain-fish flour substitution level.

**Table 7 Sensory evaluation of acha-plantain-fish flour blends biscuits**

Sample	Aroma	Texture	Colour	Taste	Overall Acceptability
A	5.90 <sup>b</sup> ±1.8	5.30 <sup>bc</sup> ±2.62	6.45 <sup>ab</sup> ±1.64	5.85 <sup>c</sup> ±1.98	5.75 <sup>bc</sup> ±2.22
B	5.80 <sup>b</sup> ±2.24	4.65 <sup>c</sup> ±2.56	5.85 <sup>ab</sup> ±1.95	6.05 <sup>b</sup> ±2.14	6.30 <sup>ab</sup> ±1.78
C	6.40 <sup>a</sup> ±2.09	6.15 <sup>ab</sup> ±2.08	6.15 <sup>b</sup> ±1.98	6.15 <sup>b</sup> ±2.13	5.90 <sup>b</sup> ±2.22
D	5.05 <sup>c</sup> ±2.42	4.60 <sup>c</sup> ±2.11	6.20 <sup>c</sup> ±2.35	5.60 <sup>cd</sup> ±1.98	5.10 <sup>c</sup> ±2.07
E	6.55 <sup>a</sup> ±2.35	5.80 <sup>b</sup> ±2.59	5.60 <sup>c</sup> ±2.11	6.50 <sup>b</sup> ±2.14	6.10 <sup>ab</sup> ±1.77
F	5.45 <sup>bc</sup> ±2.56	6.35 <sup>a</sup> ±2.5	5.95 <sup>b</sup> ±1.99	5.15 <sup>d</sup> ±1.90	5.50 <sup>bc</sup> ±2.44
G	5.75 <sup>b</sup> ±2.20	6.10 <sup>ab</sup> ±2.27	6.90 <sup>ab</sup> ±1.65	5.90 <sup>bc</sup> ±2.15	5.80 <sup>b</sup> ±2.09
H	6.50 <sup>a</sup> ±1.91	6.75 <sup>a</sup> ±1.92	7.10 <sup>a</sup> ±1.33	7.40 <sup>a</sup> ±1.39	6.90 <sup>a</sup> ±1.59

\*Values are means ± standard deviation of triplicate determinations. Means differently superscripted along the vertical columns are significantly ( $p<0.05$ ) different from each other using Duncan multiple range test.

Key: A= 100% AF, B= 100% PF, C= 90:5:5 % APFF, D= 85:10:5% APFF, E= 80:15:5 APFF, F= 75:15:10 APFF, G= 70:15:15% APFF, H= 100% WF

AF= Acha flour, PF= Plantain flour, APFF= Acha-Plantain-Fish flours, WF= Wheat flour

## CONCLUSION

The result of this study showed that addition of plantain and charaponafish flour blends improved the functional properties, fibre, ash, minerals and vitamins content. The acha-plantain-fish flour blend biscuits was generally accepted up to 15:15% of plantain-charaponafish flour inclusion however the 75:15:5% acha-plantain-charaponafish flour blends was the most preferred. There was also corresponding improvement in the fibre content and minerals of the

blend biscuits. The break strength which directly determines the texture of the biscuit was improved.

Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

## REFERENCES

1. Adanse, J., Sussana, A. B., Bigson, K. and Sitsofe, K. R. (2021). Composition and Sensory Properties of Wheat, Plantain and Cocoyam Flour Doughnuts. *Eurasian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(2), 169-183.

2. Ahmed, I., Jan, K., Fatma, S. and Dawood, M. A. (2022). Muscle proximate composition of various food fish species and their nutritional significance: A review. *Journal of Animal Physiology and Animal Nutrition*, 106(3), 690-719.
3. Ajibola, F. C. Oyerinde, V. O. and Adeniyani, O. S. (2015). Physicochemical and Antioxidant Properties of Whole-Wheat Biscuits Incorporated with Moringaoleifera Leaves and Cocoa Powder. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*; 7(3): 195-206.
4. Akoja, S.S. and Coker, O.J. (2018). Physicochemical, functional, pasting and sensory properties of wheat flour biscuit incorporated with Okra powder. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*; 3 (5): 64-70
5. Alagawany, M., Elnesr, S. S., Farag, M. R., Abd El-Hack, M. E., Khafaga, A. F., Taha, A. E. and Dhama, K. (2019). Omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids in poultry nutrition: effect on production performance and health. *Animals*, 9(8), 573
6. Alt, K. W., Al-Ahmad, A. and Woelber, J. P. (2022). Nutrition and Health in Human Evolution–Past to Present. *Nutrients*, 14(17), 3594.
7. Ariyo, O., Dudulewa, B. I. and Atojoko, M. A. (2022). Nutritional and sensory properties of biscuits based on wheat (*Triticumaestivum*), beniseed seed (*Sesamumindicum*) and sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) composite flour. *Agro-Science*, 21(2), 66-73.
8. Ayed, L., Attig, N. and Hamrouni, I. (2018). Proximate composition, mineral and metal content of biscuits manufactured from wheat flour fortified with iron and folic acid. *Food Science and Quality Management*, 77, 76-86
9. Ayo, J. A. and Atondo, D. M. (2020). Functional, Sensory and Cooking Qualities of Acha-Tigernut Noodles. *Asian Food Science Journal*, 16(4), 40-48.
10. Azeez, S., Chinma, C. E., Makanjuola, A. J., Afolabi, R. O., Kolawole, F. L. and Yohanna, A. (2022). Effect of cashew nut protein concentrate substitution on the physicochemical properties, antioxidant activity and consumer acceptability of wheat bread. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 59(6), 2200-2208.
11. Bello, A. A., Gernah, D. I., Ariaahu, C. C. and Ikya, J. K. (2020). Physico-chemical and sensory properties of complementary foods from blends of malted and non-malted sorghum, soybean and MoringaOleifera seed flours. *American Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 8(1), 1-13.
12. Bjornlund, V., Bjornlund, H. and Van Rooyen, A. F. (2020). Why agricultural production in sub-Saharan Africa remains low compared to the rest of the world—a historical perspective. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 36(sup1), S20-S53.
13. Broadberry, S. and Gardner, L. (2022). Economic growth in Sub-Saharan Africa, 1885–2008: Evidence from eight countries. *Explorations in Economic History*, 83, 101424.
14. Burd, N. A., McKenna, C. F., Salvador, A. F., Paulussen, K. J. and Moore, D. R. (2019). Dietary protein quantity, quality, and exercise are key to healthy living: a muscle-centric perspective across the lifespan. *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 6, 83.
15. Caruso, G., Floris, R., Serangeli, C. and Di Paola, L. (2020). Fishery wastes as a yet undiscovered treasure from the sea: Biomolecules sources, extraction methods and valorization. *Marine drugs*, 18(12), 622.
16. Cauthen, J., Jones, D., Gugerty, M. K. and Anderson, C. L. (2019). Banana and plantain value chain: West Africa. *Gates Open Research*, 3(39), 39.
17. Choi, D. M., He, S. and Row, K. H. (2023). Optimization of High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) Conditions for Isoflavones Using Deep Eutectic Solvents (DESs) as Mobile Phase Additives by the HCI Program. *Analytical Letters*, 1-12.

18. D'Hooghe, S. M. J., Bosch, G., Sun, M., Cools, A., Hendriks, W. H., Becker, A. A. and Janssens, G. P. (2023). How important is food structure when cats eat mice?. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 1-15.
19. Dagar, J. C., Sileshi, G. W. and Akinnifesi, F. K. (2020). Agroforestry to enhance livelihood security in Africa: research trends and emerging challenges. *Agroforestry for Degraded Landscapes: Recent Advances and Emerging Challenges-Vol. 1*, 71-134.
20. Dahal, M. (2022). Effect of fenugreek seed flour on the quality of biscuit (Doctoral dissertation, Department of Food Technology Central Campus of Technology Institute of Science and Technology Tribhuvan University, Nepal 2022).
21. Duruanyanwu, A. B. and Obiegbuna, J. E. (2023). Proximate Composition and Microbiological Evaluation of Cookies Produced from Whole Wheat Flour, Unripe Plantain Flour (R. markham) and Moringaoleifera Leaf Powder. *Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition*, 2(4), 571-582
22. Ebner, B., Millington, M., Holmes, B., Wilson, D., Sydes, T., Bickel, T. O. and Morgan, D. L. (2020). Scoping the biosecurity risks and appropriate management relating to the freshwater ornamental aquarium trade across northern Australia.
23. Echelle, A. A., Kuhajda, B. R. and Ross, S. T. (2020). Freshwater Fishes of North America: Volume 2: Characidae to Poeciliidae (Vol. 2). *Johns Hopkins University Press*.
24. Eibl, R., Meier, P., Stutz, I., Schildberger, D., Hühn, T. and Eibl, D. (2018). Plant cell culture technology in the cosmetics and food industries: current state and future trends. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 102, 8661-8675.
25. Erikson, U., Uglem, S. and Greiff, K. (2021). Freeze-Chilling of whitefish: effects of capture, on-board processing, freezing, frozen storage, thawing, and subsequent chilled storage—a review. *Foods*, 10(11), 2661.
26. Facey, D. E., Bowen, B. W., Collette, B. B. and Helfman, G. S. (2022). The Diversity of Fishes: Biology, Evolution and Ecology. *John Wiley & Sons*.
27. Fayet-Moore, F., Cassettari, T., Tuck, K., McConnell, A. and Petocz, P. (2018). Dietary fibre intake in Australia. Paper II: Comparative examination of food sources of fibre among high and low fibre consumers. *Nutrients*, 10(9), 1223.
28. Fischer, A., Rettenmair, J., and Rosenberg, S. (2018). Obesity-destiny or chance? A brief perspective on the benefits of fiber concentrates. *Cereal Foods World*, 63(4), 149-51.
29. Francezon, N., Tremblay, A., Mouget, J. L., Pasetto, P. and Beaulieu, L. (2021). Algae as a source of natural flavors in innovative foods. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 69(40), 11753-11772.
30. Ganesan, G., Selvam, G., Varatharasu, A. and Annamalai, P. Physico-Chemical and Qualitative, Quantitative Chemical Compounds Analysis of Pleurotusflorida in Thanjavur, Tamilnadu, India..(2023). *Internationa Journal of Life Science and Pharmaceutical Research*, 13(2), L8-L17.
31. Gangurde, S. S., Kumar, R., Pandey, A. K., Burow, M., Laza, H. E., Nayak, S. N. and Pandey, M. K. (2019). Climate-smart groundnuts for achieving high productivity and improved quality: Current status, challenges, and opportunities. *Genomic designing of climate-smart oilseed crops*, 133-172.
32. Gantait, S., Panigrahi, J., Patel, I. C., Labrooy, C., Rathnakumar, A. L. and Yasin, J. K. (2019). Peanut (*Arachishypogaea* L.) breeding. *Advances in Plant Breeding Strategies: Nut and Beverage Crops: Volume 4*, 253-299.

33. Gbenga Fabusiwa, F. J., Oladele, E. P., Oboh, G., Adefegha, S. A. and Oshodi, A. A. (2018). Nutritional properties, sensory qualities and glycemic response of biscuits produced from pigeon pea-wheat composite flour. *Journal of food biochemistry*, 42(4), e12505
34. Geng, Q., Zhang, Y., Song, M., Zhou, X., Tang, Y., Wu, Z. and Chen, H. (2023). Allergenicity of peanut allergens and its dependence on the structure. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 22(2), 1058-1081.
35. Ghosh, A., Rao, G. P. and Baranwal, V. K. (2019). Manual on transmission of plant viruses and phytoplasmas by insect vectors. *Indian Agricultural Research Institute: New Delhi, India*.
36. Godswill, A. C. (2019). Proximate composition and functional properties of different grain flour composites for industrial applications. *International Journal of Food Sciences*, 2(1), 43-64.
37. Gómez, J. A., Pino-Hernández, E., Abrunhosa, L., Matallana, L. G., Sánchez, Ó. J., Teixeira, J. A. and Nobre, C. (2021). Valorisation of rejected unripe plantain fruits of Musa AAB Simmonds: from nutritional characterisation to the conceptual process design for prebiotic production. *Food & Function*, 12(7), 3009-3021.
38. Gomez-Pando, L. R., Aguilar-Castellanos, E. and Ibañez-Tremolada, M. (2019). Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) breeding. *Advances in Plant Breeding Strategies: Cereals: Volume 5*, 259-316.
39. Grah, B. A M, Yapo, B. M., Dakia, A.P, Niaba, K. and Gnakri, P.V.D. (2014). Manufacture of biscuit from the flour of wheat and lentil seeds as a food supplement. *European Journal of Food Science and Technology*; 2 (2): 23-32
40. Heydari, M. M., Najib, T. and Meda, V. (2022). Investigating starch and protein structure alterations of the processed lentil by microwave-assisted infrared thermal treatment and their correlation with the modified properties. *Food Chemistry Advances*, 1, 100091.
41. Hu, X., Hu, L., Zheng, J. and Rong, J. (2022). Classification, Processing Procedures, and Market Demand of Chinese Biscuits and the Breeding of Special Wheat for Biscuit Making. *Journal of Food Quality*, 2022.
42. Ikala, G. U., Ochelle, P. O. and Idoko, B. O. (2020). Quality evaluation of “Ahuma”: a traditional breakfast porridge meal from African yam bean (*Sphenostylis stenocarpa*) sold in makurdi metropolis. *Journal of Current Research in Food Science*, 1(1), 46-51.
43. Jagarlamudi, L. (2022). Bakery and confectionery products: Processing, quality assessment, packaging and storage techniques. *CRC Press*.
44. Javed, F., Sharif, M. K., Pasha, I. and Jamil, A. (2021). Probing the nutritional quality of ready-to-use therapeutic foods developed from locally grown peanut, chickpea and mungbean for tackling malnutrition. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 58(1).
45. Kamano, H. M. (2022). *Efficacy of Plasma Technology in Eliminating Fungi and Aflatoxins in Maize in Makueni and Baringo Counties, Kenya* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
46. Kaushal, P. and Kumar, N. (2022). Processing of Cereals. In *Agro-Processing and Food Engineering: Operational and Application Aspects* (pp. 415-454). Singapore: Springer Singapore.
47. Kiin-Kabari, D.B., Emelike, N.J.T. and Ebere, C.O. (2017). Influence of drying techniques on the quality characteristics of wheat flour cookies enriched with moringa

- (Moringaoleifera) leaf powder. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*; 2 (3): 94-99.
48. Kouhsari, F., Saberi, F., Kowalczewski, P. Ł., Lorenzo, J. M. and Kieliszek, M. (2022). Effect of the various fats on the structural characteristics of the hard dough biscuit. *LWT*, 159, 113227.
  49. Kumar, K. S., Vikram, S., Vigneswaran, S. J. and Sudhanhari, C. T. A. (2021, February). Manufacturing methods of healthy and edible cups-An integrative review. In *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 1055, No. 1, p. 012017).
  50. Kumar, P. S., Saravanan, A., Sheeba, N. and Uma, S. (2019). Structural, functional characterization and physicochemical properties of green banana flour from dessert and plantain bananas (*Musa spp.*). *LWT*, 116, 108524.
  51. Lechevalier, H. A. (2019). A Guide to the Literature on Algae. *Handbook of Microbiology*, 497-531.
  52. Lodhi, S., Baig, S. and Arshad, D. (2019). Bone is not just calcium and vitamin d-a review of essential nutrients required for bone health. *Pakistan Journal of Medicine and Dentistry*, 8(2), 7-7.
  53. Ma, N., Chen, S., Zhang, Z., Sun, X., Xie, Z., Pang, W. and Zhang, G. (2022). The impact of resonance acoustic mixing on the production of solid propellants and explosives. In *Energetics Science and Technology: An integrated approach* (pp. 5-1). Bristol, UK: IOP Publishing.
  54. Makanjuola, O. M. and Adebowale, J. O. (2020). Vitamins, functional and sensory attributes of biscuit produced from wheat-cocoyam composite flour. *Journal of Scientific and Innovative Research*, 9(2), 77-82.
  55. Malomo, A. A., Abiose, S. H., and Adeniran, H. A. (2019). Effects of substitution of acha and soybean on  $\alpha$ -amylase activity, sugars and total free amino acid during production of maize masa
  56. McMullin, S., Njogu, K., Wekesa, B., Gachui, A., Ngethe, E., Stadlmayr, B. and Kehlenbeck, K. (2019). Developing fruit tree portfolios that link agriculture more effectively with nutrition and health: a new approach for providing year-round micronutrients to smallholder farmers. *Food Security*, 11, 1355-1372.
  57. Mishra, S. P. and Pradesh, U. (2020). Significance of fish nutrients for human health. *International Journal of Fish and Aquatic Research*, 5(3), 47-49.
  58. Mohanty, B. P., Mahanty, A., Ganguly, S., Mitra, T., Karunakaran, D. and Anandan, R. (2019). Nutritional composition of food fishes and their importance in providing food and nutritional security. *Food chemistry*, 293, 561-570.
  59. Mohanty, B. P., Mahanty, A., Ganguly, S., Mitra, T., Karunakaran, D. and Anandan, R. (2019). Nutritional composition of food fishes and their importance in providing food and nutritional security. *Food chemistry*, 293, 561-570.
  60. Monnet, A. F., Laleg, K., Michon, C. and Micard, V. (2019). Legume enriched cereal products: A generic approach derived from material science to predict their structuring by the process and their final properties. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 86, 131-143.
  61. Monteiro, C. A., Cannon, G., Lawrence, M., Costa Louzada, M. D. and Pereira Machado, P. (2019). Ultra-processed foods, diet quality, and health using the NOVA classification system. *Rome: FAO*, 48.

62. Mshayisa, V. V. (2021). Physico-chemical, techno-functional and structural properties of native and glycated proteins isolated from black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*) larvae. *Doctoral dissertation, Cape Peninsula University of Technology*.
63. Mustapha, K. B., Zubairu, H. L. and Adamu, A. (2018). Comparison of nutritional values of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and acha (*Digitaria exilis*) grains. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 11(1), 133-138.
64. Mustapha, K. B., Zubairu, H. L. and Adamu, A. (2018). Comparison of nutritional values of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and acha (*Digitaria exilis*) grains. *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*, 11(1), 133-138.
65. Myrtle, J. S. (2020). The Impact of Wellness Training on Resilience, Depression, and Anxiety in College Age Students.
66. Ndifel, J. and Fagbemi, F. S. (2014). Production and quality assessment of enriched cookies from whole wheat and full fat soya. *European Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 2(1):19- 28,
67. Nzeagwu, O.C. and Onuwudiwe, E.T. (2016). Nutrient composition and sensory properties of tiger nut (*Cyperus esculentus*) biscuit. *Nigerian Journal of Nutritional Sciences*; 37 (1): 157-164
68. Oke, E. K., Idowu, M. A., Sobukola, O. P. and Bakare, H. A. (2020). Nutrient composition, functional, physical and pasting properties of yellow yam (*Dioscorea cayenensis*) and jack bean (*Canavalia ensiformis*) flour blends. *Carpathian Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 12(5).
69. Okpalanma, E. F., Ukpong, E. S., Chude, C. O. and Abah, R. C. (2021). Determination of malting conditions, proximate and biochemical properties of sorghum/millet grains and malts. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*, 6(2), 51-58.
70. Oladipo, F., Y and Nwokocha, L., M. (2011). Effect of *Sida acuta* and *Corchorus solitorius* Mucilages on the Physicochemical Properties of Maize and Sorghum Starches. *Asian Journal of Applied Sciences*, 4: 514-525.
71. Olakunle M. M. and Olalekan J. A. (2020). Vitamins, Functional and Sensory Attributes of Biscuit Produced from Wheat-Cocoyam Composite Flour. *Journal of Scientific and Innovative Research*. 9(2): 77-82
72. Olapade, A.A., Akingbala, J.O., Oguntunde, A.O. and Falade, K.O. (2011). Effect of processing method on the quality of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) flour for akara preparation. *Plant Food for Human Nutrition*, 58:1-10
73. Omah, E.C. and Okafor, G.I. (2015). Selected Functional Properties, Proximate Composition of Flours and Sensory Characteristics of Cookies from Wheat and Millet-Pigeon Pea Flour Blends. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*; 14 (9): 581-585
74. Oyet, G. I., and Chibor, B. S. (2020). Nutrient Composition and physical characteristics of biscuits produced from composite blends of wheat, coconut and defatted fluted pumpkin seed flour. *Journal of Nutrition Food Science and Technology*, 1(1), 1-8.
75. Patalano, R. V. (2019). The Environmental Context of the Earliest Acheulean at Olduvai Gorge, Tanzania (*Doctoral dissertation, University of Calgary (Canada)*).
76. Pematilleke, N., Kaur, M., Adhikari, B. and Torley, P. J. (2022). Relationship between instrumental and sensory texture profile of beef semitendinosus muscles with different textures. *Journal of Texture Studies*, 53(2), 232-241.

77. Pizarroso, N. A., Fuciños, P., Gonçalves, C., Pastrana, L. and Amado, I. R. (2021). A review on the role of food-derived bioactive molecules and the microbiota–gut–brain axis in satiety regulation. *Nutrients*, 13(2), 632.
78. Poudel, S. (2021). *Effect of Incorporation of Malted Sorghum in the Quality of Biscuit* (Doctoral dissertation, Department of Food Technology Central Campus of Technology Institute of Science and Technology Tribhuvan University, Nepal 2021).
79. Prasad, K. (2022). Stimulation Effect of Rhizospheric Microbes' Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria. Glycoprotein Producing AM Fungi and Synthetic Fertilizers Application on Growth, Yield, Nutrient's acquisition and Alliin Content of Garlic Cultivation Under Field Conditions in Southeast Region of Rajasthan, India *Advanced Earth & Environmental Science*, 3(2), 1-15.
80. Rangarajan, D., Sharma, A., Lyngdoh, T. and Paesbrugge, B. (2021). Business-to-business selling in the post-COVID-19 era: Developing an adaptive sales force. *Business Horizons*, 64(5), 647-658.
81. Ranjha, M. M. A. N., Irfan, S., Nadeem, M. and Mahmood, S. (2022). A comprehensive review on nutritional value, medicinal uses, and processing of banana. *Food Reviews International*, 38(2), 199-225.
82. Rawat, M., Varshney, A., Rai, M., Chikara, A., Pohty, A. L., Joshi, A. and Gupta, A. K. (2023). Journal of Agriculture and Food Research. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Research*, 12, 100619.
83. Ricardi-Branco, F., Vargas, Y. L., Callefo, F., Jurigan, I., Delcourt, R., Marchetti, I. and Midory Rios, A. (2021). Permian Bryophytes from Gondwana: A Perspective from the Teresina Formation Fossil Record. In *Brazilian Paleofloras: From Paleozoic to Holocene* (pp. 1-29).
84. Sabry, F. (2021). Closed Ecological Systems: How the Resources Accessible to Life Can Be Used over and over Again? (Vol. 2). *One Billion Knowledgeable*
85. Sengev, I.A., Gernah, D.I. and Bunde-Tsegba1, M.C. (2015). Physical, Chemical And Sensory Properties Of Cookies Produced From Sweet Potato And Mango Mesocarp Flours. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*; 15 (5) ISSN 1684 5374.
86. Stanković, A., Šafranko, S., Jurišić, K., Balić, I., Bijelić, J., Jokić, S. and Medvidović-Kosanović, M. (2020). Investigation of system complexity and addition of vitamin C on calcium oxalate precipitation. *Chemical Papers*, 74, 3279-3291.
87. Subba, S. (2019). Fecundity and Gonadal Development of Copper Mahseer, *Neolissochilus Hexagonolepis* (McClelland, 1839) from Tamor river, Nepal (Doctoral dissertation, Institute of Science and Technology).
88. Tamang, J. P., Cotter, P. D., Endo, A., Han, N. S., Kort, R., Liu, S. Q. and Hutkins, R. (2020). Fermented foods in a global age: East meets west. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 19(1), 184-217.
89. Tanko, O. O., Hussaina, T. O. and Donaldben, N. S. (2023). Evaluation, Physicochemical and Sensory Properties of Composite Bread Produced from Wheat, Sweet Potatoes and Cashew Nut Flour. *Asian Food Science Journal*, 22(9), 74-87.
90. Teke, E. C., & Akowei, J. S. (2023). Assessment of Microorganisms in the Rhizosphere Region of Plantain. *World News of Natural Sciences*, 50, 178-196.
91. Tiwari, M., Barooah, M. S., Sharma, P., Bordoloi, P. L., Hussain, I. A. and Ahmed, A. M. (2021). Physico–chemical characteristics of fish flour prepared from locally available

- small indigenous fish species of Assam *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 45(9),
92. Udo, I. I., Etokakpan, O. U., Ukwo, S. P. and Ukpog, E. O. (2021). Evaluation of the proximate compositions, dietary fibre and resistant starch contents of selected varieties of banana and plantain. *Journal of Advances in Food Science & Technology*, 8(1), 1-9.
  93. Ukim, C. I., Ndelekwute, E. K., Kennedy, O. O., Ayuk, A. A. and Agwunobi, L. N. (2021). Potential of acha (*Digitaria* spp.) grains as feedstuff for chicken diets in the wake of Covid-19 pandemic challenges: A review. *Nigerian Journal of Animal Production*, 48(4), 94-106.
  94. Ukpog, S E., Njoku, H. O., Ire, F. S. (2021). Quality evaluation of flour and biscuits produced from wheat and African Yam Bean Tempeh Flours. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 17(01), 124–132
  95. Usman, G.O., Ameh, U.E, Alifa, O.N. and Babatunde, R.M. (2015). Proximate Composition of Biscuits Produced from Wheat Flour and Maize Bran Composite Flour Fortified with Carrot Extract. *Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences*; 5: (5).
  96. Valenti, W. C., Barros, H. P., Moraes-Valenti, P., Bueno, G. W. and Cavalli, R. O. (2021). Aquaculture in Brazil: past, present and future. *Aquaculture Reports*, 19, 100611.
  97. Viswanath, K. K., Varakumar, P., Pamuru, R. R., Basha, S. J., Mehta, S. and Rao, A. D. (2020). Plant lipooxygenases and their role in plant physiology. *Journal of Plant Biology*, 63, 83-95.
  98. Wesley, S. D., André, B. H. M. and Clerici, M. T. P. S. (2021). Gluten-free rice & bean biscuit: Characterization of a new food product. *Heliyon*, 7(1).
  99. Woldemariam, K. Y. (2019). Other Typical Pseudo-cereals in Diet. *Bioactive Factors and Processing Technology for Cereal Foods*, 233-259.
  100. Xu, H., Turchini, G. M., Francis, D. S., Liang, M., Mock, T. S., Rombenso, A. and Ai, Q. (2020). Are fish what they eat? A fatty acid's perspective. *Progress in lipid research*, 80, 101064.
  101. Zidani, S. and Boudraa, S. (2020). Effect of different drying methods on the heating under reflux and microwave extraction on techno-functional properties from the *Elaeagnus angustifolia* L., fruit. *Journal of Agroalimentary Processes & Technologies*, 26(2).
  102. Ayo JA, Ayo VI, Oyedele DS, Ankeli JA, Joshua N. Quality Evaluation of Sorghum-Malted Cowpea flour Blend for Biscuit Making Using Albino Rat to Test its Suitability for Consumption. *Asian J. Food Res. Nutri.* [Internet]. 2023 Oct. 25 [cited 2024 May 29];2(4):635-51. Available from: <https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/article/view/85>
  103. Obaroakpo JU, Iwanegbe I, Ojokoh A. The Functional and Sensory Evaluation of Biscuits Produced from Wheat, Defatted Soybean and Coconut Flour. *Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol.* [Internet]. 2017 Oct. 3 [cited 2024 May 29];23(6):1-7. Available from: <https://journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/article/view/1439>
  104. Adeola AA. Changes in nutritional, texture, rancidity and microbiological properties of composite biscuits produced from breadfruit and wheat flours enriched with edible fish meal. *Nigeria Agricultural Journal*. 2021 Apr 30;52(1):1-2.