

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>European Journal of Nutrition &amp; Food Safety</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_EJNFS_118302</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>QUALITY EVALUATION OF BISCUITS PRODUCED FROM PLANTAIN (Musa balbisana), CHARA PONA FISH (Labeorohita) AND ACHA (Digitaria exiles) FLOUR BLENDS</b>
Type of the Article	

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This manuscript has the potential to bring the good in community, as this study shows the alternative source of nutrient in biscuit.</li> <li><b>It is.</b></li> <li>The abstract need major change. Provide the brief background why the writer decide to substitute the wheat to plantain, aqcha, and chara? In result section of abstract the writer could explain which parameter increased, but no need to mention the numbers. The grammar needs more attention.</li> <li>In result, as I mentioned in comment, please find the previous study that related with current study. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Why in result section the writer only mentioned multiple times about plantain and fish flour? The title mentioned plantain-chara pona-and acha</li> <li>- Where is the ANOVA analysis in this manuscript?</li> <li>- Why in conclusion section the writer only mentioned multiple times about plantain and fish flour? The title mentioned plantain-chara pona-and acha</li> </ul> </li> <li>This masucrypt failed to show the ANOVA analysis</li> <li>Overall the majority of reference that author mentioned in the paper were the recent sources. But there were still some of references were not updated one. My suggestion is to use the reference that is at least published &lt;10 years ago.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>There were still latin nomenclature that was not writtein in italic.</li> <li>There were still miss in capital word writing. Name of fish no need the use of capital word.</li> <li>The baking fats could be corrected into baking fat</li> <li>The use of salt and water could be directly typed as palm sat and portable water.</li> <li>There were some yellow highlight for author to give right space in each sentence.</li> <li>There were still incorrect use of capital word, double dots in some sentences</li> <li>There were still incorrect grammar that need few times reading to make reviewer understand some sentences in the manuscript</li> <li>There were still inconsistency of font</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This manuscript still lacks of consistency, the author needs to put more attention to little details. The grammar errors are still there. This study brings the issue in nutrition area, but in result and discussion the author did not explain much the nutrient content and its effect.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Agustina Rajendra Putri</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Abdoel Moeloek General Hospital, Lampung University, Indonesia</b>