

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJFAR_119096  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Distribution and Community Structure of Mollusca in Jatigede Reservoir Sumedang, as Natural Feed Materials |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. This article is written based on field survey and it is a research result of availability of few mollusca species. This article shows consciousness on aquatic pollution which is a burning issue in present world.</p> <p>2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. No need to change.</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsection and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are recent and sufficient.</p> <p>In this manuscript, the author should include the photographs of available molluscs species</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | <p>1. The standard of English and the quality of the article are good and suitable for scholarly communication.</p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p>May include the photograph of available molluscs.</p>   |   |

**PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                     |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Manotosh Das</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>India</b>        |