

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_119636
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the concordance between MALDI-TOF results and traditional methods for the identification of urease-producing Enterobacteriaceae in wastewater.
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important, because it makes it possible to differentiate between the traditional identification techniques used in their country and the MALDI-TOF spectrophotometry technique. Gaps have been identified which may allow them to make improvements.</p> <p>2. No, Evaluation of the consistency of MALDI-TOF results with traditional methods for the identification of urease-producing Enterobacteriaceae in wastewater from Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire.</p> <p>3. The summary is not complete, it lacks key words, it is fairly well written, but the structuring is not good.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient.</p> <p>Reviewers comments: The concepts presented in the manuscript are not new, but they allow comparisons to be made with results obtained in other geographical areas. However, the presentation of the document leaves something to be desired. It gives the impression of an incomplete draft, with inconsistent use of fonts and incorrect text size. In addition, line spacing is inadequate and text is not justified. The methodology section lacks information regarding the specific locations where the data was collected and the rationale behind selecting these locations. This omission is crucial for the discussion, as it hinders the ability to accurately assess the author's arguments due to a lack of clarity regarding the subject matter. The document exhibits inadequate presentation of the references, which have been manually inserted, a practice deemed unacceptable in contemporary times. The author must revise the authors' guide of the journal. The figures should be revised to enhance their comprehensibility.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Authors need to re-read the document before submitting a manuscript that looks like a draft.	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rahimatou Yasmine Wendkuni Tientore
Department, University & Country	Pietro Annigoni Biomolecular Research Centre (CERBA), Burkina Faso