

Review Form 1.7

Name of the journal:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript number :	Mme_JAMB_119556
Title of the manuscript :	CHEMICAL QUALITY OF MORINGA PANEER PREPARED FROM BUFFALO MILK
Item Type	

Line director general for the process peer review :

Politics peer review of this journal states that NO manuscript should **not** be rejected solely on the basis of " **lack of novelty** ", provided that the manuscript either scientifically solid and technically solid .
To know the lines guidelines complete process peer review , evaluators are requested to visit this link :

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1 : Review comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author 's commentary (if agreed with the reviser , correct the manuscript and put in highlight this part of the manuscript . He is obligatory that the authors write their comments here)
<p>REVIEW comments</p> <p>1. The manuscript is it important to the community scientist ? (Please to write a few sentences about this manuscript)</p> <p>2. The title of the article is it appropriate ? (If not , please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. The summary of the article is it complete ?</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are they appropriate ?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript East scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. The references are they sufficient and recent ? If you have any reference suggestions additional , please mention them in the form evaluation .</p> <p>(In apart from the 6 points mentioned above , the evaluators are free to provide suggestions/ feedback additional)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript East very interesting scientifically</p> <p>2. Yes the title is acceptable ,</p> <p>3. The summary is not not complete , missing the discussion chapter</p> <p>4. Yes except set aside the discussion</p> <p>5. If he manages to dissociate the discussion from the results</p> <p>6. Yes acceptable</p>	
<p>Comments minors on REVISION</p> <p>1. The quality linguistics / English of the article is she suitable for scientific communications ?</p>	<p>Perfect</p>	
<p>Optional / General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cheick Oumar Kamissoko
Department, University & Country	Mali