

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_120001</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of different level of NPK, vermicompost and Sulphur on growth yield and economics attributes of Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.) var. Giriraj</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>After analyzing the article, I have several comments and reservations.</p> <p>The abstract is written correctly.</p> <p>The introduction should be supplemented with literature citations and their record should be standardized. It can also be expanded with an additional paragraph. The purpose of the research should be mentioned and why it was necessary to undertake it.</p> <p>Materials and methods. Here, the information contained in this chapter should be organized. From the results and discussion chapter, transfer information on the methods used to assess individual parameters in this experiment. Additionally, transfer all information about the materials applied to the soil.</p> <p>The research results and discussion chapter should be absolutely rewritten and only the information describing the research results and discussion should be left. If it is to be divided into subchapters, in each subchapter, please describe in detail this part of the research results and discuss them with references to the literature.</p> <p>The conclusions should also be written more generally so that they do not constitute a repetition of the research results and it is necessary to add what practical/application significance the conducted research has.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Magdalena Myszura-Dymek</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Life Sciences in Lublin, Poland</b>