

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_118847
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Nitrofurantoin and Fosfomycin against Extended spectrum beta lactamase producing uropathogens in the era of antibiotic resistance: A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Descriptive

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

resi		
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community as it evaluates the action of nitrofurantoin and fosfomycin against Enterobacteriaceae in ESBL (Extended spectrum beta-lactamase) producing strains in UTI, which is an important study that highlights the efficacy of the above mentioned drugs to the uropathogen strains. Most of the time the above mentioned drugs are not the 1st line drug of choice in UTI in office practice, so it is very much appreciated these drugs will be of rescue for the many resistant uropathogen strains</p>	
<p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>Yes the title is suitable</p>	
<p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p>	<p>They are sufficient</p>	
<p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>		
Minor REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	F Elizabeth Lalmangaihzuali
Department, University & Country	Zoram Medical College, India