

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJAEES_120230 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Constrains of Farmer In Adoption of Improved “Kachai Lemon” Cultivation Practices In Ukhrul District of Manipur, India. |
| Type of the Article | Original |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

| Compulsory REVISION comments | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The study is important as I understand that "Kachai Lemon" has nutritional and economic value but farmers in the district are constraints with some factors with adoption | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes but I have made few edits for consideration | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Abstract is okay but some few edits were made | |
| Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? | Is okay but few suggestions were made under these sections <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objectives and Justification of study • methodology • Study Area • We also need to know what work has been done by others in this same space | |
| Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Scientifically is okay but there are more robust statistical tools that can be used to analyse constraints and rank them rather than descriptive statistics. Check - Kendall's coefficient of concordance | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. | Ensure all references used are cited, also reference other critical information provided | |
| Minor REVISION comments Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Author needs to work on grammar and subject- verb agreements | |
| Optional/General comments | Great work and with incorporations of those comments it will improve the manuscript | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Name: | Maxwell Amedi |
| Department, University & Country | Ghana |