

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_120268
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF SEED HARDENING AND FOLIAR APPLICATION OF GROWTH SUBSTANCES ON MORPHOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF GROUNDNUT (<i>Arachis hypogaea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article (Pure Scientific Research Article based on experimental Research)

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the importance this manuscript for scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript explores the impact of seed herding and foliar application of growth substances on morphological parameters of groundnuts based on scientific facts by adopting Lab & Out rich experimental methods that have made conclusion factual closer to reality. 2. This study can make a new contribution to the development of new agricultural technologies. 	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The topic of manuscript is appropriate and timely because modernization in agriculture and development of new technologies are the demands of time. Moreover, it is more technical and somewhat difficult too. 	
<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The abstract tries to include most of the components of the research but it does not seem to be in order. It would be better to arrange this section in the following order (very briefly): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Justification of the topic - Objectives of the study (if possible general and specific both) - Methodology - Conclusion 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The arrangement of subsections and structure of the manuscript both seem appropriate and satisfactory. The maximum effort of the researcher is to embrace the essence of scientific research. 	
<p>Please write few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? Minimum 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. There are some strong bases to say this manuscript is scientifically sound that are mentioned in following points: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - First of all, in this manuscript, an analytical study has been done by including proven facts from scientific experiments. i.e. the experiment of treatment details, Methods of Seed Hardening and Foliar Application of Growth Substances etc. - Apart from this, almost all topics included in this study have also been analytically studied in a scientific manner with concrete conclusions. 	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>-</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Focusing the objectives of the study, the previous literature studied by the researcher can be considered as more or less sufficient. Along with this, the analytical subject matter included in the text and the list of books arranged in the reference section have also indicated the researcher has also reviewed the recent literature well. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Respected sir, I am not a student of English language, but I am certainly an engaged researcher using English language in social studies sector. Based on this experience, I can say that the language used in this manuscript is academic and comprehensible. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I don't have any comment regarding this manuscript. 	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shyam Prasad Wagle
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal