

Performance of rosy adenium (*Adenium obesum*) genotype under prayagrajagro-climatic conditions.

ABSTRACT

Aim: The experiment was conducted in the Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Science, Prayagraj, during 2023-2024.

Study Design: The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Design (CRD).

Methodology: 10 Rosy adenium genotypes and each genotype was replicated 3 times. The different genotypes used in the experiment were R4, R5, R23, R33, R35, R53, R73, R124, R163, R169.

Results: The result obtained showed that the genotype R73 showed significantly better performance in parameters like plant height, caudex diameter, graft length, graft diameter, days taken to bud initiation, number of petals per flower, flower diameter, self life and which was found to be at par with genotype R33 in plant height, caudex diameter, graft length, graft diameter, days taken to bud initiation, number of petals per flower, flower diameter, self life.

Conclusion: Hence, genotype R73 and R33 is excellent in terms of performance and plant growth under Prayagrajagro-climatic conditions.

Key words: *Rosy adenium, genotype, caudex, self-life.*

UNDER PEER REVIEW

1. INTRODUCTION

Adenium obesum (Forssk.) Roem. & Schult., a succulent plant commonly known as desert rose belongs to the dogbane family Apocynaceae, is native from African countries such as Ethiopia, Kenya, Senegal, Somalia, Sudan and Tanzania, also found in Oman, Saudi Arabia and Yemen as wild plant [8], [19] Owing to its beautiful sculptural caudex, good branching habit and tolerance to drought stress. its market demand is increasing [10]. It is now in cultivation in many tropical countries, [3],[4],[6],[5]. It is one of the popular ornamental plants cultivated in many humid, tropical countries for decades such as India, Philippines and Thailand, with great relevance in the ornamental market due to its wide range of flower colour among cultivars. It is known for its distinctive caudex, vibrant trumpet-shaped flowers, and resilience in harsh conditions, *Adenium obesum* holds both aesthetic and ecological importance. Its caudex serves as a water reservoir, enabling survival during drought, while its flowers attract pollinators vital for ecosystem biodiversity. The numerous hybrids are propagated mainly by grafting on to seedling rootstock. The flower consists of five petals of red and pink colour, the flowers have no fragrance. The flowers bloom throughout the year, particularly in summer when the whole plant is covered with flowers. The leaves crowd together at the end of the branches. The plant has a tendency to lose most of the leaves in winter, especially in a cool environment. [28],[18]. To ensure the performance new genotypes has been evolved which need to be evaluated for their performance in different regions.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation entitled **Performance of rosy adenium (*Adenium obesum*) genotypes under Prayagrajagro-climatic conditions** was carried out in the Department of Horticulture, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology And Sciences, Prayagraj, during September 2023-May 2024. The genotypes were planted in earthen pots. There were total number of 120 genotypes consisting of 10 varieties viz. R4, R5, R23, R33, R35, R53, R73, R124, R163, R169. The experiment was laid out in Completely Randomized Block Design (CRD) with three replications. One year old grafted adenium genotypes were procured from Mondal Nursery, Kolkata, West Bengal. The recommended cultural practices were followed for raising the crop. The average values from the samples of each genotype in every replication were worked out and results were used to evaluate the performance of different genotypes on various growth and flowering parameters.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Vegetative parameters

Among the vegetative parameters studied, significant variations were observed among 10 rosy adenium genotypes and date is presented in table 1

Significantly, taller plant height (25.83cm) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) , which was found to be at par with genotype G4(R33, 25.69cm), while lesser plant height (22.19cm) was observed in the genotype G1(R4). Variation in plant height could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [7],[26],[6]. Significantly, higher caudex diameter(5.8cm) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype of rosy adenium G4(R33, 5.6cm), while lesser leaf area (3.4cm) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). Variation in caudex diameter could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [2],[4]. Significantly, higher graft length (12.7cm) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype of rosy adenium G4(R33, 12.4cm), while lesser graft length (5.6cm) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). Variation in graft length (cm) could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [7],[26],[6]. Significantly, higher graft diameter (4.7cm) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype of rosy adenium G4(R33, 4.2cm), while lesser graft diameter (2.3cm) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). Variation in graft diameter (cm) could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [7],[26],[6]

3.2 Flower parameters

Significantly, lesser days taken to bud initiation (115.8) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype G4(R33, 116.6), while higher days taken to bud initiation (120.9) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). Variation in days taken to bud initiation could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature

during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [13],[25],[27]. Significantly, higher Number of petals per flower (10.9) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype G4(R33, 10.8), while lesser Number of petals per flower (5.1) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). Variation in Number of petals per flower could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [13],[25],[27]. Significantly, higher flower diameter (8.9cm) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype G4(R33, 8.4cm), while lesser flower diameter (4.9cm) was observed in the genotype G1(R4). Variation in flower diameter (cm) could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [13],[25],[27]. Significantly, higher self life (6.2)(days) was observed in the genotype G7(R73) which was found to be at par with genotype of rosy adenium G4(R33, 6.2), while lesser self life (4.5)(days) was observed in the genotype of rosy adenium G1(R4). Variation in self life (days) could be influenced by the parental genotypes, their genetic makeup as well as prevailing temperature during the growing period. Similar results are recorded in adenium by [13],[25],[27].

Table 1. Vegetative parameters of different rosy adenium genotypes

Genotypes	Plant height(cm)	Caudex diameter (cm)	Graft diameter(cm)	Graft length(cm)
R4	22.1	3.6	2.3	5.6
R5	24.4	4.6	3.5	10.0
R23	22.5	3.8	2.4	7.3
R33	25.6	5.6	4.2	12.4
R35	23.6	4.1	3.1	10.4
R53	24.6	4.8	3.7	11.0
R73	25.8	5.8	4.7	12.7
R124	24.8	5.1	3.8	11.4
R163	23.3	4.0	3.0	7.4
R169	25.3	5.2	4.0	12.2
F-Test	S	S	S	S
SE(d) ±	0.553	0.444	0.305	0.383
CD_{0.05}	1.161	0.932	0.64	0.804
CV(%)	2.789	11.482	10.596	4.6

Table 2. Flower parameters of different rosy adenium genotypes

Genotypes	Days taken to bud initiation(days)	Number of petals per flower	Flower diameter(cm)	Self life
R4	120.9	5.1	4.9	4.5
R5	118.7	8.3	7.0	5.4
R23	120.0	5.5	5.4	4.9
R33	116.6	10.8	8.4	6.2
R35	119.0	7.8	6.6	5.3
R53	117.8	8.8	7.2	5.6
R73	115.8	10.9	8.9	6.2
R124	117.5	9.4	7.4	6.0

R163	119.2	5.7	5.4	5.0
R169	116.5	10.7	8.2	6.1
F-Test	S	S	S	S
SE(d) ±	0.593	0.332	0.396	0.246
CD_{0.05}	1.246	0.698	0.831	0.517
CV(%)	0.614	4.882	6.937	5.407

4. CONCLUSION

From the present investigation, it is concluded that 10 Adenium genotypes under study showed significant variation in all the parameters observed. The genotype G7 (R73) reported significantly better performance in parameters like plant height, number of leaves per plant, number of branches, leaf area, caudex diameter, graft diameter, graft length, days taken to bud initiation, days taken to flower opening, number of flower cluster per plant, number of flowers per cluster, number of petals per flower, flower diameter, self life and blooming duration, which was found to be at par G4 (R33) genotypes in parameters like plant height, number of leaves, caudex diameter, graft diameter, graft length and days to flower opening. Hence, genotypes R73 and R33 are better in terms of performance and plant growth under Prayagraj agro climatic conditions.

REFERENCES

1. Brown, S.H. (2012). Adenium obesum – A report. *Institute of Food and Agricultural Science*, 20(2): 10-14.
2. Chavan, S. K., Singh, A., & Barkule, S. (2018). Genetic variability studies on Adenium obesum forsskroem and schult. *Asian. Jr. of Microbiol. Biotech. Env. Sc*, 20(3), 965-969.
3. Chavan, S., Singh, A., Bhandari, A.J. and Patel, B.N. (2016). Management of Potted Adeniums. *Floriculture Today*, 21(2): 10-13.
4. Colombo R. C., Favetta V., De Carvalho, D.U., Da Cruz, M.A., Roberto, S.R, and De Faria, R.T. (2017). Production of desert rose seedlings in different potting media. *Ornamental Horticulture*. 23(3): 250-256.
5. Colombo, R. C., Favetta, V., Silva, M. A. A. E., & Faria, R. T. D. (2018). Substrates and irrigation levels for growing desert rose in pots. *Ciência e Agrotecnologia*, 42(1), 69-79.
6. Dimmit, M. and Hanson, C. (2009). The genus Adenium in cultivation (A. obesum and multiflorum). *Cactus and Succulent Journal*, 63(5): 223-225.
7. Dimmitt MG (2009). Adenium: Sculptural elegance, floral extravagance. Scathingly Brilliant Idea, Tucson, AZ.
8. Dipak Paul, Karabi Biswas and Sankar Narayan Sinha (2016) Biological Activities of *Adenium obesum* (Forssk.) Roem. & Schult.
9. E. W Hewett., S. Wannakraioj (2018). International Forum on Horticultural Product Quality, Bangkok, Thailand.: *Acta Horticulturae*, No. 1245, 169 pp.
10. Fatmi, U., & Leo, S. J. (2022) Evaluation of Seed Germination, Establishment, and Growth of Different Black Adenium (*Adenium arabicum*) Hybrids under Prayagraj Agro-Climatic Conditions. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*. pp. 208-212. ISSN 2320-7035.
11. Hastuti, D. W. I., Suranto, S., & Setyono, P. (2009). Variation of morphology, karyotype and protein band pattern of Adenium (*Adenium obesum*) varieties. *Nusantara Bioscience*, 1(2), 78-83.

12. Kantamaht K. anchanapoom, Sunisa sunheem, Kamnoon K. anchanapoom (2010) In vitro Propagation of *Adenium obesum* (Forssk.) Roem. and Schult. Print ISSN 0255-965X; Electronic 1842-4309.
13. Kikuchi K, Koizumi M, Ishida N, Hiromi K (2012). Water uptake by dry beans observed by micro- magnetic resonance imaging. *Annals of Botony*.98(3):545– 553.
14. Kothari, S. L. and N. Chandra (1984). In vitro propagation of African marigold. *HortScience* 19:703-705.
15. M. Ajmal Ali (2020). Complete chloroplast genome of medicinally important poisonous shrub *Adenium obesum* (Forssk.) Roem. & Schult. (Apocynaceae). Mitochondrial DNA Part B Resources Volume 5, Pages 568-569 - Issue 1.
16. McLaughlin, Garofalo (2002). The Desert Rose, *Adenium obesum*: Nursery Production. Homestead: Miami-Dade, 2p. (Boletim 66).
17. Oyen LPA. (2008). *Pterygota macrocarpa* K. Schum. In: Loupe D, Oteng-Amoako AA & Brink M (eds.). Plant Resources of Tropical Africa 7(1). Timbers. PROTA Foundation, Wageningen, Netherlands / Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands. pp 493-497.
18. Rasad, F. M., Hasbullah, N. A., Daud, N. F., Azis, N. A., Amin, M. A. M., & Lassim, M. M. (2015). Micropropagation of *Adenium obesum* (Dessert Rose) in vitro. In *International Conference on Agricultural, Ecological and Medical Sciences* (pp. 10-12).
19. Roy, S., Fatmi, U., Mishra, S. K., & Singh, R. (2017). Effect of pre plant soaking of corms in growth regulators on sprouting, vegetative growth and corm formation in gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 6(5), 1135-1138.
20. S.K. Chavan, Singh Alka and S.R. Barkule (2018) Genetic variability studies on *adenium obesum* forsskroem and schult. Asian Jr. of Microbiol. Biotech. Env. Sc. Vol. 20, No. (3): 965-969 *Global Science Publications* ISSN-0972-3005.
21. Santos, C.A., Loureiro, G.A.H.D.A., Junior, G.A.G., Pereira, R.A and Sodre, G.A (2020). Seed germination and development of desert rose seedlings on different substrates. *Ciencia Rural*, 50(12): 1-7.
22. Sindhuja, M., Singh, A., Kapadiya, C., Bhandari, A. J., Shah, H. P., & Patel, A. I. (2020). Evaluation of *Adenium* genotypes for physio-chemical and flowering characters. *IJCS*, 8(4), 3840-3844.
23. Singh A, Bhandari AJ, Sachin C, Patel NB, Patel AI, Patel BN (2017). Evaluation of *Adenium obesum* for potted ornamentals under soilless growing system. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2(6):2141-2146.
24. Singh, A., Patel, G. D., Bhandari, A. J., & Shah, H. P. (2023). Standardization of grafting technique in *Adenium obesum* (Forssk.) Roem. & Schult. *Progressive Horticulture*, 55(1), 62-67.
25. Win, A. C., Pitann, B., and Mühling, K. H. (2012). Waterlogging events during stem elongation or flowering affect yield of oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) but not seed quality. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 204, 165–174. doi: 10.1111/jac.12244.