

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_119827
Title of the Manuscript:	Dry leaf biomass stability of stevia (<i>Stevia rebaudiana</i>) clones over different environments
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. The research is beneficial. ecological and Stevia (<i>Stevia rebaudiana</i>) clones' dry leaf biomass stability in various environments Therefore, to raise the dry leaf biomass, primary shoots may be used as selection criteria. In addition to serving as a reliable, high-yielding variety for the intended areas, the CSIR-IHBT-ST-1801 may also be utilized in future stevia breeding initiatives.</p> <p>The article's title is appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive and well-written. Include a synopsis of the study findings and advantages that this research will bring about.</p> <p>Complete information is included in a research article, which includes an introduction, research methodology, findings, analyses, and conclusions. Provide evidence that the study will be beneficial in the future.</p> <p>References are written correctly. Check the accuracy according to Journal format</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Theeranat Suwanaruang
Department, University & Country	Kalasin University, Thailand