

Review Form1.7

JournalName:	InternationalJournalofEnvironmentandClimateChange
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_IJECC_119656
TitleoftheManuscript:	SEASONALOCURENCEOFMAJORINSECT PESTSOFRICEGROWNINKRISHNADELTAREGIONOF ANDHRAPRADESH
TypeoftheArticle	OriginalResearch Article

[Review Form1.7](#)

PART1:Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Isthe manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Isthe title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Isthe abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. It gives information about the main plagues in a staple crop, this information could be helpful to manage the pests. 2. Yes, the title is suitable 3. The abstract needs more work, some more background, and a line of conclusion 4. Subsections and structure are adequate 5. The manuscript needs more work to be scientifically correct, some references in methods and there is no discussion 6. References are ok 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>English language is adequate</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The manuscript needs more work to be published. There is a lack of information in methods to be reproducible and in the part of discussion there is not really discussion, the author(s) only mentioned other authors that agree with the results of this research but they do not give an explanation or reason.</p>	

Review Form 17:
PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nadiezhda Ramirez-Cabral
Department, University & Country	Mexico