

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJPAS_119991</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>On propositions pertaining to the Riemann Hypothesis III</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>(1) Yes. It addresses an aspect which is very important for number theorists.</p> <p>(2) Yes it is suitable</p> <p>(3) Abstract contains some references. Generally, references are not supposed to be in the abstract section.</p> <p>(4) Yes, subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>(5) Yes, it is correct</p> <p>(6) Yes</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Equation 2.3 is followed by the sentence 'We shall say that'; which should be better replaced by the reason addressing the question 'How/ Why do you say this?'</li> <li>Eq 2.5 follows the text 'consider the following hypothesis' without any justification.</li> <li>Eq. 2.16 is followed by a sentence which is grammatically incomplete. It says 'Denote as <math>t^*(m,r)</math>, the optimal value of the above optimization problem.' This sentence needs to be rephrased.</li> <li>A proof is mentioned in present manuscript as 'See Vinogradov [1948], Kuipers and Niederreiter [2012] and Harman [1991].'</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Please see above section of language/ English quality.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kalyan Lakshmi Chitta</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>India</b>