

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Neurology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORRIN_118955
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect Of Methanoic Leaf Extract Of Mentha Piperita On The Histology Of The Cerebellum Of Adult Male Wistar Rats Exposed To Lead Acetate
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change in the keywords and in abstract – cerebral cortex to cerebellum 2. Why this current amount of dosage and duration of Lead acetate has been chosen? 3. Why cerebellum is chosen for histology? Why not whole brain histology or discrete region brain histology has chosen? 4. Change the repeated points in Introduction, 10th paragraph. 5. What vehicle is used for lead acetate and peppermint? 6. Is there will be any other reason for no adverse effect other than the duration of the drug administration? 7. Dosage for the vehicle used? 8. Since cerebellum is involved in this study, why no behavioural parameter has been observed to check the animal actions? 9. Why male Wister albino rats are used? 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	R. Ravindran
Department, University & Country	University of Madras, India