

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_119841
Title of the Manuscript:	The Effect of Adding Chayote Purée on the Preference Level of Mackerel Dumpling with Mocaf Flour
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, this manuscript is important for the scientific community. The author(s) determined the appropriate substitution level of chayote puree in mackerel dumpling using mocaf flour. The results showed that mackerel dumpling with 25% mocaf flour and 25% chayote purée addition was preferred by panelists for all sensory evaluation parameters evaluated. Also, results of proximate analysis showed protein levels of 13.93%, fat 3.87%, water 58.47%, ash 2.21%, crude fiber 1.48%, and carbohydrates 20.04%.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>To a great extent, yes. Gray areas have been pointed out and authors are expected to do the needful</p> <p><b>Unfortunately, reference section was omitted completely in the manuscript. Also, highlights have been made on statements that need referencing in the manuscript. I have written (ref) where I feel references should be added.</b></p>	<p>Reference section was not visible due to some technical problem and It will be visible in the revised version.</p>
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English language quality is fair. I have modified and given suggestions where needed.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>After necessary corrections are made, the manuscript will be good for the scientific community.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Olanipekun Oyeyoyin Taiwo</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Agricultural Research and Training, Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria</b>