

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_119711
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of the Effects of Mathematical Culture on Academic Achievement: The Mediating Role of Non-Intellectual Factors
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
--	---------------------------	---

Review Form 1.7

<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it provides insights into how mathematical culture and non-intellectual factors influence academic achievement, offering valuable implications for enhancing teaching practices. 2. Yes suitable. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive as it succinctly summarizes the key points, including the research objectives, methodology, main findings, and conclusions. 4. Yes, the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The paper is well-organized, with clear sections and subsections. 5. Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. It employs established methodologies such as surveys and regression analysis, and it follows appropriate statistical procedures to test the reliability, validity, and significance of the findings. 6. There is a need to add some latest references <p>Overall, good, few grammatical and typographical errors should be addressed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications, although there are a few areas where clarity and grammar could be improved for better readability and precision.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Satisfactory</p>	

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Shahid Rafiq</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Emerson University Multan, Pakistan</p>