

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_113554
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF EXTERNAL DEBT AND REAL ECONOMIC GROWTH OF DEVELOPING ECONOMIES: NIGERIA'S EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes this is a good and scientific research with a research problem, data, results and findings etc. It is an experiment and presents a scientific method to analyze the relationship between the external debt and real economic growth addressing as a crucial issue of Nigeria, a developing economics.</p> <p>The title of the article is acceptable.</p> <p>The abstract requires stating the specific objectives of the research clearly.</p> <p>The structure of the manuscript is almost appropriate, however, In Section 4(dada analysis), author needs to re –write with appropriate sub-sections and improvements, strongly advised to follow APA format sincerely.</p> <p>Only few recent references are found in the manuscript and it is better to add even more new or recent references not older than 5 year. Both references and citation in review of literature are required to re-write, and strongly advised to follow latest APA format.</p> <p>Tables are suggested to be presented with appropriate APA format. If Discussion Section is explained and presented separately according to research objectives, it can be better.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Proof reading/Editing and Improvement of language and typography are strongly required, and suggested to use simple words and short sentences to communicate the research ideas. The manuscript seems a first draft because there are many errors found like grammar, punctuations, word spellings, fonts and so on. Serious revision is suggested for final publication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, this is a good research and it becomes even better one if the author considers above few comments with minor corrections seriously and improve for final publication writing academically.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bachchu Ram Sapkota
Department, University & Country	Khwopa College, Nepal