

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_119901</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nursing care for patients with Atrial Flutter undergoing catheter ablation: Integrative review</b>
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>An interesting and relevant topic is discussed here. In the manuscript, a very detailed introduction to the topic is given. In the Introduction, the researcher has mentioned about the factors that can affect the cardiovascular system leading to cardiac arrhythmias. As the author mentioned though the most common and severe form of Cardiac arrhythmias are of ventricular origin but supraventricular diseases which are of atrial origin need to be treated as they affect the patient's quality of life. Which explains the significance of the study.</p> <p>The systematization of nursing care in this study was found to be very interesting where all the possible problems pre-, trans, and post-procedure were addressed and detailed interventions given. The methodology was well explained and the discussion was done by comparing with other studies on the subject which is very good.</p> <p>The researcher should mention the area of population clearly so that the study findings can be generalized in that area. The researcher can mention the other type of alternative research that explores these subjects. The researcher could have discussed the results with other study which explains specific nursing care for the diagnosis.</p> <p>I felt in some sentences there was lack of clarity so I have rephrased some sentences for clarity in the manuscript. Overall, I found the study very unique and beneficial for the theme.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mousumi Gogoi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Assam Oil College of Nursing, India</b>