

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_119540
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Sustainability and Performance of Hempcrete: A Study on Physical and Mechanical Properties
Type of the Article	Physical Sciences

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory REVISION</u></b> comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Very important</p> <p>Assessing the sustainability of the physical and mechanical properties of Hempcrete in concrete manufacturing</p> <p>Failed to pinpoint the main findings of the study</p> <p>Yes but the arrangement was not ok. Recommended: Introduction, Literature Review, Methodology, Experimentation and Analyses of results and Conclusion and Findings</p> <p>Highly Scientific</p> <p>A little bit outdated. Only 50% in the last 10 years.</p> <p>Advisable to apply the APA Style.</p>	
<p><b><u>Minor REVISION</u></b> comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Good English language</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Alhassan Haladu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Yusuf Maitama Sule University, Nigeria</b>