

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_119600
Title of the Manuscript:	Digital Inclusive Finance Helps Green Industry in Fujian Province development
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, topic is interesting and contributes in existing body of knowledge.</p> <p>No, it should be Digital Inclusive Finance and its contribution in green economy and sustainable development in China</p> <p>Yes they do. But author should not mention literature review twice. Last mentioned heading of literature should be removed Yes it is</p> <p>Introduction section lacks appropriate citations.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Needs to improve English quality</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Correct words in methodology of abstract like “core explanatory variable to explore” replace explanatory with exploratory Conclusion of abstract must starts with capital letters Replace “digitised payments” with digitalized payments. Reconsider first line of introduction and use some appropriate words. Replace “Through the use of digital inclusive finance technology, it can make the investment, monitoring and management of the green industry more efficient and accurate” with “use of digital inclusive finance technology can make investment,” Correct citation mistakes in literature section like Imran A H (2023) if you are using APA, it should be Imran (2023). Why repetition of year? Jianfeng G (2022)9(2022) If you are using APA, only consider last name of first author like Xing Xiaowei et al. (2022) should be Xing et al.,(2022).</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shah Rukh Khalid
Department, University & Country	Bahria University Islamabad, Pakistan