



32 [2]. It will directly effect on the crop nutrient content and yield parameters. Inoculation of rice  
33 with silica solubilizing bacteria enhanced available silica in soil and silica content in plant and  
34 improved rice yield [23 & 24]. Dissolution of silicate results in rendering phosphorus  
35 available for plant absorption as silica competes with phosphorus fixation sites; silica acts like  
36 auxiliary for phosphorus in plants [3]. Hence, an experiment was conducted to study the  
37 availability of nutrients in soil and uptake by rice plants by inoculating selected zinc and silica  
38 solubilizing isolates and their combinations under pot culture and field conditions.

## 39 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 40 **2.1 Estimation of Nutrient (N, P, K, Zn and Si) Content in Soil Samples**

#### 41 **Treatments:**

42 T<sub>1</sub>: RDF (Control)

43 T<sub>2</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>

44 T<sub>3</sub>: RDF + Calcium silicate

45 T<sub>4</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + Calcium silicate

46 T<sub>5</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4

47 T<sub>6</sub>: RDF + ZnPGG-1

48 T<sub>7</sub>: RDF + SiKPP-1

49 T<sub>8</sub>: RDF + SiPYY-3

50 T<sub>9</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1

51 T<sub>10</sub>: RDF + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3

52 T<sub>11</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 + SiKPP-1

53 T<sub>12</sub>: RDF + ZnPGG-1 + SiPYY-3

54 T<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3

55 Where,

56 RDF = Recommended dose of fertilizer

57 ZnKJJ-4, ZnPGG-1, SiKPP-1 and SiPYY-3: Efficient zinc and silica solubilizing isolates

58 Paddy variety, MTU-7029 (Swarna) was sown in pots by adopting 20 cm X 10 cm  
59 spacing at ARS, Jangamaheswarapuram under Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University,  
60 Lam, Guntur, India. Thirteen treatments, replicated thrice, were imposed in completely  
61 randomized designs detailed below. Experiment conducted under shade net under controlled  
62 conditions.

### 63 **2.2 Soil Sample Collection and Processing**

64 Soil samples collected from all the 39 pots at 45, 90 and 120 DAS were dried under  
65 shade, gently ground with wooden hammer, sieved through 2 mm sieve and stored in labelled  
66 new polythene lined cloth bags. Processed soil samples were used for analysing various  
67 physical, physico-chemical and available nutrients and for assessing the biological properties  
68 viz., microbial population in undisturbed soil samples by adopting standard procedures.

### 69 **2.3 Estimation of Available Nitrogen in Soil Sample**

70 The alkaline potassium permanganate method [4] was followed for the estimation of  
71 available N content in soil. Twenty grams of soil was taken in 800 ml dry Kjeldahl flask and  
72 20 ml of distilled water was added. Then 100 ml, each of 0.32%  $\text{KMnO}_4$  and 2.5%  $\text{NaOH}$   
73 solutions were added. The froth formation during boiling was prevented by adding liquid  
74 paraffin (1 ml) and bumping by adding a few glass beads. Contents were distilled in Kjeldahl  
75 assembly at a steady rate and liberated ammonia was collected in a conical flask  
76 (250 ml) containing 20 ml of boric acid solution (with mixed indicator). The pink colour of the  
77 boric acid solution turns to green with the absorption of ammonia. 150 ml distillate collected  
78 in about 30 minutes was titrated with 0.02 N  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  till the original shade (pinkish) was  
79 obtained. Blank titration was performed without soil and expressed in  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ .

$$80 \quad \text{Available N (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = \frac{(A-B) \times (N \text{ of acid}) \times 0.014 \times 100}{\text{weight of the soil}}$$

81 Where,

82 A (ml) = volume of standard acid required for soil

83 B (ml) = volume of standard acid required for blank

84 N = Normality of sulphuric acid.

### 85 **2.4 Estimation of Available Phosphorus in Soil Sample**

86 Available P in soil was determined by Olsen's method [5]. Two and half g air dried  
87 soil was placed into a 250 ml conical flask and added a pinch of Darco charcoal and 50 ml of  
88 Sodium bicarbonate extractant (0.5 M) was added. Then the contents were shaken for 30  
89 minutes and filtered through Whatman No 1 filter paper, 5 ml of clear and colourless filtrate  
90 was transferred into a 25 ml volumetric flask, 2-3 drops of p-nitrophenol was added, it turns  
91 to yellow colour. Then the filtrate was titrated with 5N  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  drop by drop till yellow colour  
92 disappears, to this 5 ml of Olsen's extract solution was added then diluted up to 20 ml with  
93 distilled water. Then 4 ml of ascorbic acid was added and volume was made up to 25 ml and  
94 shaken well. After 5 minutes and the intensity of the blue colour developed was measured

95 using 660 nm, blank was run without soil, and standard curve of P was plotted. Available P  
96 was expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

$$97 \text{ Available Phosphorus} = R \times \frac{\text{Total volume of the extract}}{\text{weight of the soil taken}} \times \frac{25}{\text{volume of aliquot}} \times 2.24 \times 2.29$$

$$98 \text{ Available Phosphorus (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = R \times 513$$

99 Where R = ppm of P in the aliquot

## 100 **2.5 Estimation of Available Potassium in Soil Sample**

101 Available potassium content in soil was extracted by using 1N neutral normal  
102 ammonium acetate as described by [5]. The concentration of potassium in the extractant was  
103 determined by flame photometer and expressed in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

$$104 \text{ Available Potassium (kg ha}^{-1}\text{)} = R \times \frac{\text{Total volume of the extract}}{\text{weight of the soil taken}} \times 2.24 \times 1.2$$

105 Where R = ppm of K in the extract

## 106 **2.6 Estimation of Available Zinc in Soil Sample**

107 Estimation of available zinc based on the principle of Diethylene Triamine Penta  
108 Acetic acid (DTPA), a chelating agent, combined with free metal cations in soil solution to  
109 form ring like soluble complexes or chelates and separate them from soil which are then  
110 estimated by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. Standard Solution for Zn 1000 ppm,  
111 (commercial) was used. As per the standard procedure *i.e.*, 10 g soil sample was taken into 10  
112 ml conical flask, added 20 ml DTPA extractant solution DTPA extracting solution (pH7.3  
113 ±0.5) and shaken for 2 hours and then filtered, later placed in the AAS, calibrated by standard  
114 solution of different concentration and reading of the unknown solution was recorded [6].

115 Where,

$$116 \text{ Reading of unknown solution} = X$$

$$117 \text{ Dilution factor} = 2$$

$$118 \text{ Concentration in soil} = X \times 2 \text{ ppm}$$

## 119 **2.7 Estimation of Available Silica in Soil Sample**

120 Estimation of available silica based on method of 0.5M Acetic Acid Extraction for  
121 Silicon [7]. Air-dried sieved (< 2 mm) soil (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was scooped out into a 75 ml plastic  
122 extraction bottle. 25 ml of the 0.5 M acetic acid extracting solution was added to each bottle  
123 with automatic dispenser and left overnight (approximately 20 hours). The mixture was  
124 shaken on reciprocating shaker (120 OPM) for 50 minutes, filtered and extract was collected  
125 into plastic containers. Using working standards (0.0, 5.0, 10.0 and 20.0 ppm Si) Si in the soil  
126 extracts was measured by spectrophotometer. Si in the soil was calculated:

127 Si (ppm) in soil = Si in soil extract (ppm) × 2.5.

128

## 129 **2.8 Estimation of Nutrient (N, P and K) Content in Plant Samples**

### 130 **2.8.1 Collection, preparation and analysis of plant samples**

131 The plant samples were collected at 45 DAS, 90 DAS and 120 DAS, washed  
132 thoroughly with distilled water and dried under shade. Then, they were dried in hot air oven at  
133 65°C till a constant weight was obtained. Dried plant samples were ground in a wooden pestle  
134 and mortar and stored in polythene bags for further chemical analysis. N, P, K, Zn and Si  
135 contents were estimated by following standard methods.

### 136 **2.8.2 Digestion of plant sample**

137 Powdered whole plant samples were separately treated with concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>  
138 overnight for pre digestion. Then, the pre-digested samples were treated with diacid mixture  
139 [HNO<sub>3</sub>:HClO<sub>4</sub> (9:4 ratio)] and digested on sand bath at low temperature till colourless white  
140 precipitate was obtained. The residue was dissolved in 6N HCl, filtered, made to known  
141 volume by using 6N HCl. This was used for further nutrient analysis. The following analyses  
142 were carried out from the diacid digested samples of whole plant.

### 143 **2.8.3 Estimation of nitrogen concentration in plant sample**

144 Nitrogen concentration in plant samples were estimated by Kjeldahl method [8] after  
145 digesting the organic matter by H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

146 The nitrogen content in plant sample is calculated as follows:

147 Weight of sample = 0.1g

148 Normality of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> = 0.02

149 Titration value (TV) = Sample titration value – Blank titration value

150 % N in plant sample =  $\frac{T.V \times 0.00028 \times 100}{0.1} = 0.28 \times T.V$

151 Concentration of macronutrients was expressed as % and micro nutrients in ppm

### 152 **2.8.4 Estimation of phosphorus concentration in plant sample**

153 The phosphorus content in the digested plant samples was determined by  
154 Vanadomolybdo-phosphoric acid yellow colour method using spectrophotometer at 470 nm  
155 wavelength [9].

$$\begin{aligned} 156 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Final volume (50 ml)} \times 100 \times 100 \\ 157 \text{ \% P in plant sample} & = \text{sample conc. in ppm} \times \frac{\qquad \qquad \qquad}{\qquad \qquad \qquad} \\ 158 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Wt of sample (1g)} \times \text{aliquot (5ml)} \times 10^6 \end{aligned}$$

### 159 **2.8.5 Estimation of potassium concentration in plant sample**

160 The plant samples for K estimation were digested by diacid through wet digestion.  
161 The samples are then read in flame photometer using filter for K[10].

$$\begin{aligned} 162 & \qquad \qquad \qquad 100 \times 100 \\ 163 \text{ \% K in plant sample} & = R \times \frac{\qquad \qquad \qquad}{\qquad \qquad \qquad} \\ 164 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Wt. of sample (1g)} \times 10^6 \\ 165 & \qquad \qquad \qquad = R \times 0.01 \end{aligned}$$

166 Where R = concentration of K in ppm obtained from standard curve

## 167 **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 168 **3.1 Influence of zinc and silica solubilizing bacterial isolates and their consortia on** 169 **available nutrients in the soil**

#### 170 **3.1.1 Available nitrogen in soil**

171 Available nitrogen content was 138.42 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>(Table. 1) in the initial soil sample. All  
172 the treatments showed increased available nitrogen content at 45-120 DAS. Highest available  
173 nitrogen was recorded in T<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3 (189.3 kg  
174 ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by T<sub>12</sub> (188.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), T<sub>11</sub> (187.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and T<sub>10</sub> (186.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 45  
175 DAS. Significantly highest available nitrogen content at 90 DAS was recorded in T<sub>13</sub> (249.4  
176 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) than in T<sub>12</sub> (244.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). At 120 DAS available nitrogen content was decreased  
177 with 239.7 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen availability in T<sub>13</sub>, followed by T<sub>12</sub> (235.6 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 2). The  
178 above results were accordance with [11] who evaluated silica solubilizing bacteria along with  
179 vermicompost and farm yard manure and recorded the highest available nitrogen 299.54 kg  
180 ha<sup>-1</sup> in crop over the control and individual inoculants. Similar findings were reported earlier  
181 by [12] and [13].

182 **Table 1. Influence of zinc and silica solubilizing bacterial isolates and their consortia on available major nutrients N, P and K (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in**  
 183 **soil of direct sown paddy in pot culture experiment**

Treatments	Available nitrogen (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Available phosphorus (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Available potassium (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	45 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS	45 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS	45 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS
T <sub>13</sub>	189.3	249.4	239.7	34.6	61.8	38.5	214.2	347.9	232.1
T <sub>12</sub>	188.2	244.6	235.6	32.3	58.7	36.4	211.8	343.5	230.4
T <sub>11</sub>	187.3	238.9	228.1	31.2	59.5	34.3	210.2	342.9	229.6
T <sub>10</sub>	186.3	233.4	227.2	30.8	56.6	33.2	210.0	341.6	228.3
T <sub>9</sub>	183.5	233.2	227.3	28.9	51.8	30.1	209.7	340.7	228.1
T <sub>8</sub>	183.2	232.2	221.8	29.6	54.6	30.6	208.3	339.3	226.8
T <sub>7</sub>	179.0	228.6	218.1	28.8	52.7	29.7	208.1	338.9	226.4
T <sub>6</sub>	177.8	222.1	207.2	26.7	48.5	28.6	207.6	338.4	225.6
T <sub>5</sub>	178.2	225.4	209.6	28.3	50.0	29.4	207.4	338.1	225.2
T <sub>4</sub>	177.1	218.4	200.4	27.5	48.4	28.5	206.4	337.4	224.2
T <sub>3</sub>	175.2	195.8	191.6	28.4	49.2	29.2	206.8	337.9	224.8
T <sub>2</sub>	176.7	200.6	199.4	26.3	47.2	28.1	204.2	334.1	222.2
T <sub>1</sub>	174.0	190.2	189.2	24.8	44.9	26.6	202.1	333.4	220.3
SE(m)	2.30	2.48	2.14	1.21	2.04	2.04	1.16	2.13	1.03
CD (P=0.05)	6.89	7.44	6.41	3.64	6.12	6.12	3.47	6.39	3.08
CV (%)	3.26	2.91	2.36	4.62	3.26	4.52	2.24	3.85	2.27

184 *T<sub>1</sub>: RDF (Control), T<sub>2</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>: RDF + Calcium silicate, T<sub>4</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> + Calcium silicate, T<sub>5</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4,*  
 185 *T<sub>6</sub>: RDF + ZnPGG-1, T<sub>7</sub>: RDF + SiKPP-1, T<sub>8</sub>: RDF + SiPYY-3, T<sub>9</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1, T<sub>10</sub>: RDF + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3,*  
 186 *T<sub>11</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 + SiKPP-1, T<sub>12</sub>: RDF + ZnPGG-1 + SiPYY-3, T<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3*

### 187 3.1.2 Available phosphorus in soil

188 Available phosphorus content was initially 23.62 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>(Table 1). All the treatments  
189 exhibited increased available content of phosphorus at 45-120 DAS. The highest phosphorus  
190 availability was attained in T<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3 (34.6 kg  
191 ha<sup>-1</sup>), significantly superior to T<sub>12</sub> (RDF + ZnPGG-1 + SiPYY-3) *i.e.*, 32.3 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At 90 DAS  
192 increased available phosphorus was registered in T<sub>13</sub> (61.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), significantly superior to  
193 T<sub>11</sub> (RDF + ZnKJJ-4 + SiKPP-1) *i.e.*, 59.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At 120 DAS available phosphorus content  
194 decreased in T<sub>13</sub> to 38.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> superior to T<sub>12</sub> (36.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)(Table 2). These results indicated  
195 the superiority of T<sub>13</sub>for available phosphorus in soil.[14]studiedsolubilization of silicate and  
196 concurrent release of phosphorous and potassium inrice ecosystem and reported that bacteria  
197 are plentiful in soiland few of them have the capacity to solubilize silicate minerals,silica.  
198 Phosphorous was released concurrently and enhanced grain yield.[15]found that application  
199 of PSB, *Bacillus megatherium* var. *phosphaticum*, increased the PSB population in the  
200 rhizosphere and hence increment in P availability in the soil.

201 **Table 2. Initial Physico-chemical and microbiological properties of soil used in pot**  
202 **culture experiment.**

Soil property	Pot
Available N (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	138.42
Available P (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	23.26
Available K (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	198.25
Available Zn (ppm)	0.42
Available Si (ppm)	44.0

### 203 3.1.3 Available potassium in soil

204 Available potassium content was 198.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>(Table 1) in the initial soil sample. At  
205 45 to 120 DAS all the treatments showed increased potassium compared to initial. At 45 DAS  
206 the highest available potassium was recorded in T<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 + SiKPP-1  
207 & SiPYY-3 (214.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) significantly superior to T<sub>12</sub> (RDF + ZnPGG-1 + SiPYY-3) *i.e.*,  
208 211.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly highest available potassium was recorded in T<sub>13</sub> (347.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) at 90  
209 DAS significantly superior to T<sub>12</sub> (343.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). At 120 DAS available potassium decreased

210 to 232.1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> in T<sub>13</sub>, followed by T<sub>12</sub> (230.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)(Table 2). Thus T<sub>13</sub> was statistically  
 211 superior to all the other treatments with respect to available potassium in the soil.

212 In the present study available potassium was influenced by the treatments at the  
 213 beginning of the experiment. Silica solubilizing bacteria like *Bacillus mucilaginosus* promoted  
 214 the growth of eggplant through increasing the availability of potassium and uptake.  
 215 *B.mucilaginosus* was also found to increase the availability of potassium in the soil, uptake of  
 216 potassium in roots and the growth of cucumber and pepper [16].

### 217 3.1.4 Available zinc in soil

218 Available zinc content was 0.42 ppm(Table 1) in the initial soil sample. At 45 to 120  
 219 DAS all the treatments showed increased available zinc content compared to initial value.  
 220 Highest available zinc was recorded in T<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 + SiKPP-1 &  
 221 SiPYY-3 (0.78 ppm), superior to T<sub>12</sub> (RDF + ZnPGG-1 + SiPYY-3) *i.e.*, 0.74 ppm at 45 DAS.  
 222 At 90 DAS highest available nitrogen content was recorded in T<sub>13</sub> (0.99 ppm), followed by  
 223 T<sub>12</sub> (0.97 ppm) and T<sub>11</sub> (0.94 ppm). At 120 DAS available zinc content decreased in all the  
 224 treatments with 0.86 ppm in T<sub>13</sub> followed by T<sub>12</sub> (0.82 ppm) and T<sub>11</sub> (0.80 ppm) and were  
 225 statistically on par (Table 3).The above results are in accordance with  
 226 [17] who reported that treatment with 75% Zn + ZnSB2 (12.69 ± 2.96 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) resulted in the  
 227 highest available zinc in soil in paddy over the control.

228 **Table 3. Influence of zinc and silica solubilizing bacterial isolates and their consortia on**  
 229 **available micro nutrients Zn and Si (ppm) in soil of direct sown paddy in**  
 230 **pot culture experiment**

Treatments	Available Zinc (ppm)			Available Silica (ppm)		
	45 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS	45 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS
T <sub>13</sub>	0.78	0.99	0.86	60.1	90.8	78.4
T <sub>12</sub>	0.74	0.97	0.82	59.4	89.6	77.6
T <sub>11</sub>	0.72	0.94	0.80	59.3	89.2	77.1
T <sub>10</sub>	0.67	0.87	0.78	58.4	88.8	76.4
T <sub>9</sub>	0.66	0.86	0.76	57.8	87.6	75.7
T <sub>8</sub>	0.65	0.83	0.74	57.3	87.4	75.6
T <sub>7</sub>	0.61	0.82	0.71	56.1	86.2	74.1
T <sub>6</sub>	0.59	0.79	0.68	54.7	84.8	72.4
T <sub>5</sub>	0.57	0.74	0.66	55.2	85.6	73.6
T <sub>4</sub>	0.58	0.76	0.66	54.2	84.2	72.7

T <sub>3</sub>	0.59	0.78	0.68	53.1	83.1	71.8
T <sub>2</sub>	0.54	0.72	0.64	52.3	82.6	70.9
T <sub>1</sub>	0.52	0.70	0.62	51.4	80.8	69.3
SE(m)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.54	0.44	0.62
CD (P=0.05)	.02	.02	.02	1.62	1.33	1.87
CV (%)	1.51	1.55	1.19	1.52	1.47	1.56

231 *T*<sub>1</sub>: RDF (Control), *T*<sub>2</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, *T*<sub>3</sub>: RDF + Calcium silicate, *T*<sub>4</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> +  
232 Calcium silicate, *T*<sub>5</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4, *T*<sub>6</sub>: RDF + ZnPGG-1, *T*<sub>7</sub>: RDF + SiKPP-1, *T*<sub>8</sub>: RDF +  
233 SiPYY-3, *T*<sub>9</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1, *T*<sub>10</sub>: RDF + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3, *T*<sub>11</sub>: RDF +  
234 ZnKJJ-4 + SiKPP-1, *T*<sub>12</sub>: RDF + ZnPGG-1 + SiPYY-3, *T*<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 +  
235 SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3

### 236 3.1.5 Available silica in soil

237 Available silica content was 44.0 ppm (Table 1) in the initial soil sample. At 45 to 120  
238 DAS all the treatments showed increased available silica content compared to initial. Highest  
239 available silica was recorded in *T*<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3  
240 (60.1 ppm), followed by *T*<sub>12</sub> (RDF + ZnPGG-1 + SiPYY-3) and *T*<sub>11</sub> (RDF + ZnKJJ-4 +  
241 SiKPP-1) *i.e.*, 59.4 and 59.3 ppm and were statistically on par at 45 DAS. Available silica  
242 content was highest in *T*<sub>13</sub> (90.80 ppm), followed by *T*<sub>12</sub> (89.6 ppm) and superior to *T*<sub>11</sub>(89.2  
243 ppm). At 120 DAS available silica content decreased to 78.4 ppm in *T*<sub>13</sub>, followed by *T*<sub>12</sub> and  
244 *T*<sub>11</sub> (77.6 and 77.1 ppm) and were statistically on par (Table 3). Available silica was observed  
245 highest in the *T*<sub>13</sub> treatment. It might be due to silica solubilizing potentiality of the isolates  
246 used in the *T*<sub>13</sub> that had directly impact on the available silica in soil. Similar results were  
247 found by [18] who observed the 114 ppm available silica content in soil treated with silica  
248 solubilizing bacteria + calcium silicate in paddy crop.

## 249 3.2 Influence of zinc and silica solubilizing bacterial isolates and their consortia on 250 nutrient concentration in plants

### 251 3.2.1 Percent nitrogen in plant

252 At 45 DAS significantly highest nitrogen concentration was obtained in *T*<sub>13</sub>: RDF +  
253 ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3 (0.79%), followed by *T*<sub>12</sub> (RDF + ZnPGG-1 +  
254 SiPYY-3) *i.e.*, 0.78% while least was observed in *T*<sub>1</sub> (control) *i.e.*, 0.61%. At 90 DAS  
255 maximum plant nitrogen concentration was obtained in *T*<sub>13</sub> (0.99%), followed by *T*<sub>12</sub> (0.97%)

256 whereas the lowest was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> *i.e.*, 0.82 %. From 90 DAS to 120 DAS there was  
 257 decrease in the concentration of nitrogen in plant. At 120 DAS significantly highest nitrogen  
 258 concentration was recorded in T<sub>13</sub> (0.89%), followed by T<sub>12</sub> (0.87%) and lowermost was  
 259 observed in T<sub>1</sub> *i.e.*, 0.72% (Table 4).PGPR (*Bacillus sphaericus* UPMB10 and *Azospirillum*  
 260 Sp7) inoculation, increased (28-40 %) the N concentration in roots and leaves, which  
 261 consequently resulted in greater rise of N as evidenced by more (129-176 %) total dry matter  
 262 production. This increase in N concentration consequently increased N accumulation which  
 263 certainly promoted nitrogen fixation by the PGPR[19].

### 264 3.2.2 Percent phosphorus in plant

265 Phosphate solubilizing microorganisms could increase growth and concentration  
 266 leading to elevated crop tolerance under water deficit stress. At 45 DAS significant  
 267 concentration of plant phosphorus was found in T<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 + SiKPP-1  
 268 & SiPYY-3 (0.37 %) followed by T<sub>12</sub> (RDF + ZnPGG-1 + SiPYY-3) *i.e.*, 0.36 %. At 90 DAS  
 269 significantly highest plant phosphorus concentration was recorded in T<sub>13</sub> (0.58 %), whereas  
 270 T<sub>12</sub> showed 0.55 %. At 120 DAS highest phosphorus concentration was obtained in T<sub>13</sub> (0.49  
 271 %), followed by T<sub>12</sub> (0.47 %), and T<sub>11</sub> (0.46 %) and were statistically on par (Table 4).There  
 272 are several microorganisms, which can also solubilize the cheaper sources of phosphorus,  
 273 such as rock phosphate. Bacteria like *Pseudomonas* and *Bacillus* are widely used in the  
 274 organic production system and also important phosphate solubilizing microorganisms,  
 275 resulting in improved growth and yield of crops [20].

276

277 **Table 4. Influence of zinc and silica solubilizing bacterial isolates and their consortia on**  
 278 **N, P and K concentration (%) in plant of direct sown paddy in pot culture experiment**

Treatments	Nitrogen (%)			Phosphorus (%)			Potassium (%)		
	45 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS	45 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS	45 DAS	90 DAS	120 DAS
T <sub>13</sub>	0.79	0.99	0.89	0.37	0.58	0.49	1.78	2.18	1.89
T <sub>12</sub>	0.78	0.97	0.87	0.36	0.55	0.47	1.76	2.17	1.87
T <sub>11</sub>	0.76	0.96	0.86	0.34	0.54	0.46	1.75	2.16	1.86
T <sub>10</sub>	0.77	0.95	0.85	0.33	0.53	0.44	1.76	2.14	1.87
T <sub>9</sub>	0.76	0.93	0.84	0.32	0.53	0.45	1.74	2.12	1.85

T <sub>8</sub>	0.74	0.94	0.81	0.30	0.52	0.43	1.72	2.09	1.83
T <sub>7</sub>	0.73	0.92	0.83	0.29	0.50	0.42	1.73	2.10	1.84
T <sub>6</sub>	0.72	0.90	0.84	0.28	0.49	0.38	1.70	2.08	1.81
T <sub>5</sub>	0.66	0.89	0.76	0.29	0.48	0.36	1.69	2.06	1.80
T <sub>4</sub>	0.67	0.88	0.78	0.28	0.47	0.37	1.67	2.07	1.78
T <sub>3</sub>	0.64	0.86	0.75	0.27	0.46	0.35	1.65	2.04	1.76
T <sub>2</sub>	0.63	0.84	0.74	0.26	0.45	0.32	1.64	2.03	1.75
T <sub>1</sub>	0.61	0.82	0.72	0.21	0.44	0.30	1.62	2.02	1.73
SE(m)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.1	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01
CD (P=0.05)	.02	.02	.02	.01	.02	.02	.02	.01	.02
CV (%)	1.62	2.76	1.34	1.35	1.15	1.46	2.13	1.54	1.35

279 *T*<sub>1</sub>: RDF (Control), *T*<sub>2</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, *T*<sub>3</sub>: RDF + Calcium silicate, *T*<sub>4</sub>: RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> +  
280 Calcium silicate, *T*<sub>5</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4, *T*<sub>6</sub>: RDF + ZnPGG-1, *T*<sub>7</sub>: RDF + SiKPP-1, *T*<sub>8</sub>: RDF +  
281 SiPYY-3, *T*<sub>9</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1, *T*<sub>10</sub>: RDF + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3, *T*<sub>11</sub>: RDF +  
282 ZnKJJ-4 + SiKPP-1, *T*<sub>12</sub>: RDF + ZnPGG-1 + SiPYY-3, *T*<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 +  
283 SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3

### 284 3.2.3 Percent potassium in plant

285 Better concentration of potassium might have maintained the turgor of cells and  
286 stomatal conductance by osmoregulation. At 45 DAS significant plant potassium  
287 concentration was obtained in *T*<sub>13</sub>: RDF + ZnKJJ-4 & ZnPGG-1 + SiKPP-1 & SiPYY-3 (1.78  
288 %), followed by *T*<sub>12</sub>: RDF + ZnPGG-1 + SiPYY-3 (1.76 %). At 90 DAS highest plant  
289 potassium concentration was obtained in *T*<sub>13</sub> (2.18 %), followed by *T*<sub>12</sub> (2.17 %), *T*<sub>11</sub> (2.16 %),  
290 *T*<sub>10</sub> (2.14 %) and *T*<sub>9</sub> (2.12 %). At 120 DAS significantly higher potassium concentration was  
291 recorded in *T*<sub>13</sub> (1.89 %), followed by *T*<sub>10</sub> (RDF + SSB 1 & 2) and *T*<sub>12</sub> (RDF + ZSB 2 + SSB  
292 2) *i.e.*, 1.87 % (Table 4).

293 The solubilized silicon interacts with other nutrients, particularly, potassium. Si in  
294 solution increase potassium availability to plants by reversing its fixation as Si competes for  
295 potassium fixation sites in the soil thus application of silicates released more of potassium in  
296 soil system [21]. The PGPRs, *Pseudomonaskoreensis* and *Bacilluscoagulans* likely improve the  
297 uptake of potassium in plant. Potassium will help the plant in different abiotic and biotic  
298 adverse environment [22].

299 **4. CONCLUSION**

300 Nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium are essential for crop growth and development in  
301 paddy. Apart from that zinc and silica nutrients are also very useful for the grain quality and  
302 quantity. Zinc and silica solubilizing bacteria and their consortia showed significant effect on  
303 available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, zinc and silica in soil as well as nitrogen,  
304 phosphorus and potassium concentrations in rice plant compared to individual zinc and silica  
305 solubilizing microorganisms.

**Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)**

Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

**REFERENCES**

1. Rodriguiz H, Gonzalez T, Goire I and Bashan Y. Gluconic acid production and phosphate solubilization by the plant growth promoting bacterium *Azospirillum* spp. Nature. 2004; 91:552-555.
2. Thompson JP. Correction of dual phosphorous and zinc deficiencies of linseed with cultivars of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Soil Biol. Biochem. 1996; 28:941-951.
3. Anthony Raj S. Solubilization of silicate and concurrent release of phosphorous and potassium in rice ecosystem. Soil Biology: 2018; 42:112-118.
4. Subbiah BV, Asija CL. A rapid procedure for the estimation of available nitrogen in soils. Curr. Sci. 1956;25:259-260.
5. Olsen SR, Code CL, Watanable FS, Dean LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. United States Development Agency, Washington DC, Circular Number. 1954;939:1-19.
6. Lindsay WL, Norvell WA. Development of DTPA soil test for zinc, iron, manganese and copper. Soil Sci. Soci. Of American Jour. 1978;41:421-428.
7. Snyder GH. Development of a silicon soil test for Histol-grown rice. Belle Grade EREC Research report EV-1991-2, University of Florida, Belle grade, FL;1991
8. Piper CS. Soil and Plant Analysis. Hons publishers, Bombay; 1966.

9. Tandon HLS. Analysis of plant material for macro and micronutrients. In method of analysis of soils, plant, water and fertilizer. 1993;49-82.
10. Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice- Hall of India private limited, New Delhi. 1973
11. Padmaja HK, Rohini T, Ilorkar VM and Priya CA. Effect of silicon solubilizing bacteria on availability of nutrients with different sources of organic residues under *Pongamiapinnata* (Karanj). Int. J Chem. Stud. 2019;7(3):1065-1068.
12. Molla MAZ, Chowdhury AA, Isla A, Hoque, S. 1984. Microbial mineralization of organic phosphate in soil. *Plant and Soil*. 78(3):393-399.
13. Gupta BD, Macrae EA, Ferguson IB. 1994. Estimation of hydrogen peroxide in plant extracts using titanium (IV). *Analytical Biochemistry*. 139: 487-492.
14. Kannaiyan S, Kumar K, Govindrajan K. 2004. Solubilization of silicate and concurrent release of phosphorous and potassium in rice ecosystem. *Biofertilizer Technology*. 372-378.
15. Sundara B, Natarajan V, Hari K. Influence of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on the change in soil available phosphorus and sugarcane and sugar yields. *Field Crops Res*. 2002;77(1):43-49.
16. Han HS, Supanjani and Lee KD. Effect of co-inoculation with phosphate and potassium solubilizing bacteria on mineral uptake and growth of pepper and cucumber. *Plant Soil Environ*. 2006; 52(3):130-136.
17. Dinesh R, Srinivasan V, Hamza S, Sarathambal C, Gowda SA, Ganeshamurthy AN, Gupta SB, Nair VA, Subila KP, Lijina A and Divya, V.C. Isolation and characterization of potential Zn solubilizing bacteria from soil and its effects on soil Zn releaserates, soil available Zn and plant Zn content, *Geoderma*. 2018;321: 173-186.
18. Mongia C, Rashmi SN, Sharmila V, Surekha R, Tejaswini R and Suresh CK. Growth response of *Amaranthus gangeticus* to *Azotobacter chroococcum* isolated from different agroclimatic zones of Karnataka. *J. Phytol*. 2003; 3(7):29-34.
19. Baset M, Shamsuddin ZH, Wahab Z and Marziah M. Effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacterial (PGPR) inoculation on growth and nitrogen incorporation of tissue-cultured 'musa' plantlets under nitrogen-free hydroponics condition. *Aust. J. Crop Sci*. 2010; 4(2):85-92.
20. Dobereiner J. Biological nitrogen fixation in the tropics: social and economic contributions. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. 1997; 29: 771-774.

21. Chinnasami KN and Chandrasekaran S. 1978. Silica status in certain soils of Tamil Nadu. *Madras Agril Jour.* 65:743- 746.
22. Baig KS, Arshad M, Khalid A, Hussain S, Abbas MN, Imran M. Improving growth and yield of maize through bioinoculants carrying auxin production and phosphate solubilizing activity. *Soil Environ.* 2014; 33(2):159-168.
23. Singh S, Chhabra R, Sharma A, Bisht A. Harnessing the Power of Zinc-Solubilizing Bacteria: A Catalyst for a Sustainable Agrosystem. *Bacteria* 2024, 3, 15–29. Interaction between Plants and PGPR for Sustainable Development. 2024:18.
24. Nawaz A, Qamar ZU, Marghoob MU, Imtiaz M, Imran A, Mubeen F. Contribution of potassium solubilizing bacteria in improved potassium assimilation and cytosolic K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under saline-sodic conditions. *Frontiers in Microbiology.* 2023 Aug 28; 14:1196024.

UNDER PEER REVIEW