

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMMR_119849</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Impact of Seroma Volume Reduction on Dosimetry in Whole Breast Radiation Therapy: A Case Study and Adaptive Planning Considerations</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case report</b>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The title brought to publish is a very interesting and help full for the community. Analysing the Seroma Volume, and getting the impact has a significant input for the domain area and in the breast cancer</p> <p>2. The title acceptable ,</p> <p>3. The abstract: a little need modification to justify the how part to come up to the conclusion, with this one data sources / or one case study</p> <p>4. 4. Subsection yes good</p> <p>5. Scientifically correct, with limited case study</p> <p>6. The reference totally not sufficient, need to refer more within the area of</p> <p>a. What is the relationship Seroma Volume Reduction on Dosimetry. Seroma reduction with the number of therapy, how it reduces?</p> <p>b. Support the case study with previous research work</p> <p>c. Would you please add one or two more case study, otherwise it is open for further research as an input it is good.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The language and the technical words used prefect.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The manuscript presents a well-defined scientific research study focused on breast cancer treatment. While the inclusion of a case study is beneficial for the research field, it seems that the analysis lacks a concrete conclusion. Therefore, it is recommended to incorporate more cases or utilize additional references with case studies.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Abebe Alemu Balcha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Adama Science and Technology University, Ethiopia</b>