

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_119377
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIMICROBIAL POTENTIAL OF AQUEOUS AND ETHANOL EXTRACT OF TERMITE AND BEE NATURAL PRODUCTS ON Escherichia Coli FOR POSSIBLE MEDICINAL PURPOSE
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the present manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Title of article is small and to the point.</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Scientifically the manuscript is correct.</p> <p>6. The references are correct.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The current form of manuscript need revision in terms of writing, many grammer, spacing and typographical error were observed. I recommended to correct before the publication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Reviewer's comment</p> <p>1. Authors are advised to fix the name of bacteria during the whole manuscript. Sometimes authors write the full form and some times they write the short name of the bacteria. So, this should need to corrected.</p> <p>2. The SI unit of the components are same. E.g. mL is common for all the measurement.</p> <p>3. Authors are replaced the symbol of the temperature with □.</p> <p>4. As per my view, appendixes are the proof of the work. That's why these are cited in the manuscript.</p> <p>5. Quality of the figure 1. should be improved.</p> <p>6. The format of the reference should check and corrected as per journals requirement.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hardik L. Varu
Department, University & Country	Bhakta Kavi Narsinh Mehta University, India