

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_119631
Title of the Manuscript:	PERITONEAL TUBERCULOSIS IN AN IMMUNOCOMPETANT PATIENT: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The case reports presented are common in countries with endemic TB. Yes, it is important, but the author can re-emphasize what is interesting and novel about this case compared to other common cases of extraperitoneal TB</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Writing a good abstract is crucial as it serves as a concise summary of your research paper or article. In the abstract, emphasize the interesting points in this case.</p> <p>Yes, the structure is appropriate and clear enough. The pictures of the case can give a mark to show where the abnormality was found.</p> <p>We suggest paying attention to the timeline of the examination.</p> <p>Jha DK, Pathiyil MM, Sharma V. Evidence-based approach to diagnosis and management of abdominal tuberculosis. Indian J Gastroenterol. 2023 Feb;42(1):17-31. doi: 10.1007/s12664-023-01343-x. Epub 2023 Mar 11. PMID: 36899289; PMCID: PMC10005918.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it's. The author writes clearly and concisely and avoids unnecessary jargon or unacademic language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The author can re-emphasize what is interesting and novel about this case compared to other cases of extraperitoneal TB, for example, the patient's condition, diagnosis, or treatment. In the introduction, the author mentioned that a golden diagnosis of TB from histoPA/tissue biopsy was made in the case. • Please include what materials are used for culture and Genexpert MTB/RIF ultra-extrapulmonary, whether sputum, feces, ascites fluid, or gastric lavage. We also suggest paying attention to the timeline of the examination. • Please explain discussion whether why author check AFB sputum, even have facility to check with GeneXpert. Is it for evaluation / diagnosis? • Pictures of cases are given mark/sign where the abnormality was found. • The author can make details for therapy, maybe the regiment, dose, or modality used to follow up on extrapulmonary TB. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kristin PD
Department, University & Country	Duta Wacana Christian University, Bethesda Hospital, Indonesia