

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_119824
Title of the Manuscript:	First Record of Snakehead Gudgeon (<i>Ophiocara porocephala</i>) in Sorong, West Papua, Indonesia
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is scientifically okay for publication</p> <p>2. The title is okay</p> <p>3. There is a need of a comprehensive methodology to fully describe the source of data and standard where possible</p> <p>4. It was okay</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. No</p>	<p>There is need of an additional updated reference list. The updated reference list supposed to cover year 2020 as minimum date of citation</p>
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>TITLE: Was okay</p> <p>ABSTRACTS: -- Methodology should comprehensively stated as other researcher will benefits from it</p> <p>INTRODUCTION: i.The general background information looks scanty as there are less literature review with even almost outdated references</p> <p>ii. There is need of intext cited references to be arranged in order of increasing year or date</p> <p>RESULTS</p> <p>i. All the aforementioned codes should vividly define below each and every table for proper clarification</p> <p>ii. There is need of additional related information on the results discussions</p> <p>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</p> <p>i. It was okay</p> <p>REFERENCE LIST</p> <p>It was suggested that:</p> <p>i. All the below 2020 references should be updated</p> <p>ii. All the cited volumes should be bold</p> <p>iii. All the journals names should be italicize</p> <p>The correction are basically minor and the paper is publishable</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lawal Nura
Department, University & Country	Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria