

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_119262
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of water quality on the zootechnical (biological) performance of <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> at different stages of development in some fish farms within Fako Division, South West Region of Cameroon
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes. Interesting title, but has often been discussed in previous studies</b> corrections are in the manuscript review</p> <p>No... The content does not match the title</p> <p>No..suggestions are in the manuscript review. the type of research is not appropriate. data processing methods do not yet exist</p> <p><b>Yes</b> ...papers are too general and widely available in other literature</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>No</b> ...only 13 literature. most of the content does not match the title. the writing does not match the instructions. not used medeley or other applications</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes.... needs grammer improvement</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>This manuscript has many disadvantages, including 1. no novelty or no new discoveries. 2. the data analysis method is not appropriate. 3. the method of data testing is not appropriate and there is no test data available. 4. many graphical images in one image, so it is not informative 5. the discussion is not in accordance with the title and conclusion 6. the writing of several parts of the reference is not in accordance with the instructions</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mochammad Farkan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Jakarta Technical University of Fisheries, Indonesia</b>