

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_118787</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Usage of Android Phone and the Academic Performance of the Grade 10 Students in Jose Abad Santos National High School</b>
Type of the Article	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. The manuscript appears to be important for the scientific community for several reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It addresses a relevant and contemporary issue.</li> <li>• It uses a robust methodology with appropriate statistical tools.</li> <li>• The findings have practical implications for education stakeholders.</li> </ul> <p>However, the importance also hinges on the manuscript's contribution to filling gaps in existing research and its ability to inform future studies. If the manuscript is well-written, thoroughly analyzed, and the findings are presented clearly with well-supported conclusions, it would indeed be a valuable addition to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The original title is generally suitable but could benefit from minor revisions to improve clarity and conciseness. The suggested revision maintains all key elements while ensuring the title is grammatically correct and easier to read.</p> <p>3. Overall, the abstract is quite comprehensive as it includes the key components needed to understand the study's purpose, methods, results, and conclusions. It effectively summarizes the research, making it clear and informative. Including a brief mention of the implications or recommendations and potential limitations would enhance its comprehensiveness further.</p> <p>4. <b>Yes</b> 5. <b>Yes</b> 6. Based on the evaluation, the references appear to be both sufficient and recent. They cover a wide range of relevant topics, and many are recent publications, ensuring the research is based on current knowledge. This comprehensive and up-to-date literature review contributes to the overall quality and credibility of the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Have a lot of grammatically error. The manuscripts need to be revived.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hamidah Mat</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institut Aminuddin Baki, Malaysia</b>