

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_119861
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytoremediation of Aquatic Plants: Harnessing Nature's Power to Cleanse Water Bodies
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This is an important topic that needs more attention from the scientific community. In particular, the description of the mechanisms of phytoremediation and, the advantages and limitations of using phytoremediation is quite interesting.</p> <p>Yes, the title is sufficient.</p> <p>Yes, but maybe it could include that some of the aquatic species for phytoremediation was reviewed in this study.</p> <p>Yes, it is quite adequate.</p> <p>I believe the manuscript could be improved scientifically. In particular, it can provide a brief paragraph or two about previous studies and how they have investigated the topic of phytoremediation.</p> <p>Yes, it is sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is very clear and the article is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tutku Ak Erken
Department, University & Country	University of Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart, Turkey