

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_119336
Title of the Manuscript:	Production and Quality Assessment of Plant-based Yoghurt from Coconut milk fortified with Date Syrup
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. First of all, I would like to congratulate you on your manuscript which is extremely interesting for the scientific community because the production and evaluation of this type of yogurt can have a significant impact, particularly in the fields of the food industry, public health and environmental sustainability.</p> <p>2. The title is clear.</p> <p>3. The type of study, study location, sampling method, and data analysis methods (hypothesis testing) were not raised in the abstract.</p> <p>4. The structure of the article has been well developed.</p> <p>5. I think this manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The references used are largely sufficient and recent.</p> <p>7. The first three sentences of the second paragraph of the Presentation section have no references (In recent years, rare or expensive.)</p> <p>8. Tables 2 and 3 do not contain the names of the statistical tests used</p> <p>9. Not all the graphs produced contain the values of the modalities presented</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>I think that the writing of the manuscript and the quality of the language are well suited to scientific production.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>To improve the presentation of the graphs, it is better to remove the grids and insert the values of each displayed modality.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amsdar Lahoucine
Department, University & Country	Ibn Zohr University, National School of Applied Sciences, Morocco