

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_119951
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects Of Hesperidin and Nepitrin (Salvia rosmarinus) On the Response of GABAA Receptors Expressed In Xenopus Oocytes and their Neuropharmacological Activities
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, this work is beneficial for scientific community.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract is good and supportive for this research, but one thing is that on the second line you mention [A], Please specify what is this.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>No, Add some recent year papers like 2023 and 2024. And in Reference No.15, use normal font (not in Capital letters) for the name of journal. Use journal norms for the arrangement of references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, language is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Work is good and helpful for community. Specify which test you are applied for statistical analysis in Result section. Correct some errors mentioned above in this letter.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dhyanendra Singh Baghel
Department, University & Country	Mansarovar Global University, India