

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_119903
Title of the Manuscript:	Internal combustion engine alternative fuels
Type of the Article	Original Research

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes. Clean fuel is the need of the hour to reduce environmental pollution & its impact on global warming.</p> <p>Yes, title is suitable.</p> <p>Yes, abstract is comprehensive. However, there is no need to give Reference Numbers in the Abstract. Reference numbers can be skipped in the Abstract.</p> <p>Yes. Appropriate, but need Revision.</p> <p>Manuscript needs Major Revision to be scientifically correct. At various points, the Author has made claims which need to be referenced. References need to be in order in which they appear in the Text, not haphazardly. Every paragraph in the Introduction needs to be Referenced.</p> <p>References are sufficient. Historical perspective needs to be given. So, some older references need to be incorporated. Most of the References pertain to the period around the year 2022 and from a particular Geographical area. References need to be dated periodically and from all over the world.</p> <p>Author has used different terms at different times for some abbreviations like LNG (Liquefied Natural Gas & Liquid Natural Gas). Maintain uniformity, Use one term only. Similarly, Author says, "Ignition temp of Hydrogen is High" at one point and "Ignition temp of Hydrogen is Low" at another point. Maintain uniformity and stick to one statement. Justify that statement with References.</p> <p>Some portions of Text are irrelevant and can be deleted. Similarly some tables can be deleted since they are just a repetition of what appears in Text.</p> <p>Some terms like Deflagellation & Tempering only appear at the end of the article. Author could have added a paragraph in the Introduction explaining all these terms.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some Revision and Grammatical correction needs to be done. Areas which need Revision are highlighted in Yellow.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The Idea behind this Article is good in theory. However, the Article needs major revision and is a bit long. Needs shortening and unnecessary duplication needs to be avoided. In short, Article needs to be Crisp & To-The-Point.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rakesh Sadhu
Department, University & Country	Gmc & AH, India