

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_119903</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Internal combustion engine alternative fuels</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Renewable energy sources are scientifically important because they provide a sustainable path for energy production while preserving natural resources. These sources generally have lower environmental impacts compared to fossil fuels and can offer solutions to major issues like climate change. Additionally, research and developments in renewable energy technologies have the potential to enhance energy efficiency and promote a cleaner environment. In these respects, the use of renewable energy sources holds significant scientific and environmental importance.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate</p> <p>3. Yes, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. It is necessary to provide information about methanol.</p> <p>5. Yes. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. The references given are up to date but not sufficient.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. The introduction section should be more detailed. Specifically, the study's place in the literature and its unique contributions should be emphasized more.</p> <p>2. The information provided in the method section is repetitive. This repetition should be avoided. Sections should be clear and original.</p> <p>3. The appropriate transport conditions for hydrogen gas could be investigated, and more detailed information could be added. This is important for safety and efficiency</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. More comprehensive information about methanol could be provided. Adding more details about methanol's production, storage, and use would be beneficial.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vedat Emin Ayaz</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bartın University, Turkey</b>